



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_31522
Title of the Manuscript:	PREPOTENCY OF NIGERIA SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION CODE OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AMONG PUBLIC COMPANIES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-Shorten and re-write the abstract section. -Authors use correlation analysis and the results from this analysis is not clear. -Language of the paper cannot be understood. -Aim of the paper is not clear. -Paper should be seriously improved.	- Author agrees. The abstract have been shortened to 243 words from 300 words. - The Pearson, Kendell and Spearman rho Matrices were employed for the purpose of analysis and estimation. - Author disagrees on comments regarding the language of the Paper. To achieve some measures of clarity in the study, the concepts and theoretical framework used in this paper were clearly defined in the body of the work. - Author disagrees with reviewer's comment on the aim of the paper. The research objective of the paper is to examine the superiority of the level of importance of the most common corporate governance indicators in Nigeria. - Author agrees that the paper should be improved. This has been effected accordingly.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		