



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_31334
Title of the Manuscript:	CURRENT ACCOUNT DEFICIT DYNAMICS IN KENYA: EVIDENCE FROM TIME-SERIES DATA (1980-2014)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In introduction section; the aim of paper, contribution of this study to literature are not explained. Moreover, some paragraphs are not related each other in introduction section. Equations and econometric methodology are not evaluated in introduction section. Nevertheless, these 2 part are located in this section. In the 1.2. part, literature review, there are not any literature about this study. This part was leaved empty. So, this part needs to be filled. After the part of 1.2, it was passed the part of 2.1, Theoretical and empirical literature review. It is wrong to evaluate the equations in this section. So, the name of this section's title should be changed. The number of titles and subtitles are not regular. For example; after the parts of 3.0 and 3.1 were explained, the part of 3 and 3.2 were evaluated. There are some errors in empirical findings. The variables in table 3, M2_gdp- Y_gap could not be found in the part of data. Furthermore, the variable of FID could not be found in the part of empirical analysis. So, the variables in which applied should be checked carefully. After the co-integration analysis in empirical analysis, Granger causality test base on VECM should be applied.	-The objective and contribution of the study is explained on line 95 -Econometric methodology is appropriately explained under section 3. -we noted the typos and corrected them -we have separated theoretical and empirical literature review as suggested -we noted the omission and corrected it
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		