



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJEMT_27663
Title of the Manuscript:	An investigation of the role of population age structure and carbon dioxide emissions in Africa
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	As a reviewer I have the following remarks: 1. You have many abbreviations. In my opinion the paper should contain their list. Many among them are obvious but even it's difficult to read the paper. 2. Line 143, good to provide a reference for "World Bank Africa..." 3. In equation 3.1.2 what is K? 4. Line 313 – it's what I mean in my point 1. Explain abbreviations 5. Table 4.0 (Wald chi2) keep one digit accuracy, as for 3400.8. 6. I agree, the results are controversial. Do you think to use other predictors, say percentages of [15-65] in population? Or others> Thank you.	The abbreviations have been corrected. The full meanings are now supported with the abbreviations. K is a constant. It has been corrected. Line 313 Corrected. Ok. Corrected. What I tried to investigate is to determine which of the population dimensions (population growth, population density, population size and population age structure) has the greatest impact on the carbon dioxide emissions. However, in this study I employed population age structure to determine its significant impact.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		In other studies I investigated the results are widely different, but not for the consumption of this journal. Thank you.