



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BJEMT_17087
Title of the Manuscript:	SMALL SCALE ENTERPRISES IN NIGERIA: TOWARDS ITS SUSTAINABILITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The title is not clear, and it does not express the paper target. The general goal of the study is (1) to examine the role of small scale enterprises in poverty alleviation in Nigeria and (2) to examine the role of government in facilitating the growth of small scale enterprises. The data given in the manuscript and the relevant description made the goals clear and evident. Where and how to give such a conclusion?	The clarity of the title can be found in the introduction. It is not compulsory that title of any research should be repeated in the objective(s). This may amount to laziness on the part of author. Also it is a known fact from empirical study that SSEs have contributed to poverty reduction in Nigeria and many other countries in world. Yet the situation in Nigeria is that there were some challenges such as government poor funding, taxation and others that undermined the growth SSEs. This appears to threaten the sustainability of the sector. This what the title aimed at
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	As for suggestion, the manuscript says that small scale enterprises empowered traders at Sabon-Gari market in Kano State to meet their daily needs for basic necessities of live. Yet these traders were confronted with multiple taxations which depleted their profit and benefits. It is recommended that government should review tax regime to accommodate the interest of small scale traders. It seems too rough and should give more detailed suggestion.	The idea here is to present the problem first and followed by the solution. This is clear.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		