SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_45416**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Chronological analysis of the price of tomato fruit (Solanum lycopersicum L.) in Benin main markets from 2006 to 2015**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**This paper would be better if the information used in the discussion section had been deployed also in the first section to identify some propositions or hypotheses which could have been explored by the analysis of the findings. This would have helped in reducing the size of the lengthy descriptive sections of results and, more importantly, in highlighting the claim for contribution to academic knowledge being made for the paper.** **The discussion should draw upon more recent references, since it is likely that market conditions would have changed in recent years.** **The conclusion should more explicitly state the limitations to the research and the suggestions for future research.** **The author uses both 'fruit' and 'vegetable' for tomatoes, when sticking to one (preferably the more accurate 'fruit') would be preferable.** **The author mentions climate change at the end of the first section but then does not consider this at all in the rest of the paper. If climate change is not going to be considered properly, then this reference should be deleted.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***John Walsh***
Department, University & Country	***Vietnam***