



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_45287
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient contents in a Cerrado oxisol fertilized with swine liquid manure under an environmental conservation perspective
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper contributes to the relevance of research in conservation of nutrients in the oxisol. The research problem is highly appreciable and relevant to the theme of the journal. The structure of the paper is very good and organised. The author(s) have done well in their presentation and scientific approach. The paper should be published as it is.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Refrence number 37 in list but not in text	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper contributes to knowledge and academic debate among soil scientist. The pattern of the graph is relevant.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ibrahim Yerima
Department, University & Country	University of Maiduguri , Nigeria