



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44302
Title of the Manuscript:	QUALITY OF FRUITS FROM TAHITI LIMEIRA GRAFTED IRRIGATED WITH WATERS OF DIFFERENT SALINITIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript is accepted with minor changes, It has scientific quality, good statistical support and the variables evaluated were adequate, However only little errors were found during the revision. Title: Add scientific name of Tahiti Limeira (<i>Citrus latifolia</i> Tan) Abstract: In place and duration of the study: add Brazil. Line 11 inside abstract write Control not witness, Line 12 write dSm⁻¹ Introduction: Is ok, only line 23 write dSm⁻¹ Materials and methods: Improve Fig 1 and 2. - To uniformize size and type letter - Remove months conducting the experiment (Fig 1) - Remove conduction time of the experiment (Fig 2). - In both Figures write months in English. Line 78 write Control not witness Line 83 write experiment Line 89 write February 15, 2017 Lines 79, 114, 116, 117, 118, 143, 152 write dSm⁻¹ Table 1 what means SB and (T). Line 125 write Lh⁻¹ Line 153 write (AA mg/100 ml) Line 156, write kg Results and discussion: Are ok Lines 181,194,195,201, 202, 216, 217, 236, 237, 249, 250, 251, 255, 256, 266, 267, 284, 285. Write dSm⁻¹. Table 2. write Block, Error, Mean Table 3. write Genotypes Line 289 write 100mL⁻¹ Conclusion: Is ok, only line 34 write dSm⁻¹ References: Write references according the rules of this journal, there are some errors. Line 321. Write 2009, 5(5):611-619 Line 325. Write 2006, 27(2): 297-320 Line 330. What means however? Write 2014, 27:17-24. Line 334. Write 2004, 30:37-82 Line 336. Write 2010, p 124-141. Line 340. Write 2010, 6(11):3? Line 353. Write 1:533 ? Line 354. Write 2011, 35(6):1039-1042 Line 356. 2004, 55 (396 ?): 307-319	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 358. Write 2005, 78(1):15-24 Line 362. Write 2012, 81:103-112. Line 364. Write 2014, 103: 128-137 Line 367. Write 2014, 18:1-7 Line 369. Write 1971, 2:107-171 Line 373.write 1996, 71(4):551-559.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raúl Leonel Grijalva Contreras
Department, University & Country	Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales Agrícolas y Pecuarias , México