



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43194
Title of the Manuscript:	Validation of flowering models for classifying maize into maturity groups in the different Agro-ecologies of West and Central Africa.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- The article is based on field studies. - Abstract is appropriate to the title. - Introduction has sufficient background information with defined problem and objectives. - Appropriate methods and procedures were followed. - Results were presented nicely and discussed scientifically with supporting references. - Conclusion is sound having practical utility. - References are sufficient, but need revision as per style and format of the journal.	
Minor REVISION comments	Indicated in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Nicely prepared article having good practical utility.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. K. Mathukia
Department, University & Country	Junagadh Agricultural University, India