



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_46083
Title of the Manuscript:	Fungitoxic potential of copaiba and eucalyptus essential oils on phytopathogens
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is an original paper with preliminary results. -I hope the trend of new experiments will be extended.	-In the present study it was not possible to carry out the experiment in vivo due to some limitations in our laboratory. But we are looking for partnerships so that we are able to perform both experiments (<i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i>). It will certainly raise the quality of future work.
Minor REVISION comments	-We did minor corrections in the authors manuscript and suggested the addition of a paper mentioned in the bibliographical list.	- Unfortunately, it was not possible to obtain information on the substrates of isolation. The fungi are part of an old collection, which in the beginning did not have an adequate record. I contacted the current curator and it was not possible to obtain this information. - All other suggestions given were accepted and modified in the article. Thank you so much for the valuable contributions.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	