



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_45917
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Irrigation Depths on the Growth of Papaya Seedlings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: Some confusing statement in an abstract - Six treatment was used for the research with round number but result turn to decimal point value (example- 12.5 irrigation depth. Pls. make it clear. Introduction: Explain the meaning of irrigation depths. Results and Discussion: Paragraph no. 6, typo – “the leaf area (FA) change to “(LA)” Pls. correct the citation format throughout the text – existing text, mixing between numbering format and alphabet format; example Melo et al. [10]	The value of 12.5 was the irrigation blade that best met the water requirement of seedlings of the "Rubi INCAPER 511" variety of papaya variety according to the statistical analysis, being within the range of 4 to 14 which are the treatments under study. The citations are in accordance with the standards required by the journal.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	