



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_45689
Title of the Manuscript:	Agronomic efficiency of organic fertilized in the production of the intercropping of coriander and mint in the northeastern Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	What is the fundamental reason for using randomized block area, arranged in a 2 x 4 factorial scheme set up, with three replications? May be you can use another design!!!! It could be interesting to explain why you prefer this design! Very interesting result, but the discussion is not strong! It must be documented	We used the design in randomized blocks because the experiment was performed in field level, where it was necessary to control a type of heterogeneity. On the other hand, a factorial scheme was used, because in this experiment two factors were studied simultaneously at different levels.
Minor REVISION comments	Please the discussion could be documented by some recently references	We added some references updated in the discussion.
Optional/General comments		