



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                      |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>                                    |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JEAI_45347                                                                                        |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Weed Control and Peanut Tolerance When Using Pyroxasulfone in the South Texas Peanut Production Area |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                                            |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | The manuscript was not well written. Treatments were not well mentioned and presentation of results was poorly done. Author needs to carry out proper editing to avoid being accused of plagiarism. | It is not plagiarism since it is taken from previous manuscripts that I have published. Also, citations are given for each statement mentioned; therefore, these were properly cited. Sentence was changed somewhat to reduce the thought of plagiarism.<br><br>The reviewer mentions that treatments are not given in abstract and this is due to the word limitations placed on the length of abstract.<br><br>The reviewer questions why the untreated was included in Table 2 since it is not included in the analysis and this is basically as a reference for the reader.<br><br>The untreated was moved to the bottom of Table 3 to conform with Table 2 as suggested by the reviewer. |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |

**PART 2:**

|                                              | Reviewer's comment                                                    | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |                                                                                                                                                                               |