



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_45167
Title of the Manuscript:	Meroncidius intermedius (Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae): incidence and analysis of fruits attacked in banana crops in the State of Espírito Santo, Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Eventhough the problem was well-stated, you could have written the introduction such that your readers woul appreciate the economic value of banana globally and then you narrow it to Brazil. trends What are the export,foreign exchange earnings of banana to Brazil or local producers, its contribution to Gross domestic product (GDP) and the major nutritional/antioxidants properties. This will attract more readings when the paper is published.	Accepted corrections. they are in yellow on manuscript. thank you very much
Optional/General comments	Good manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	