



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44845
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiological and Ultrastructural Characteristics of Leaves of Eucalyptus Clones Destined for Matrix Selection
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Standard deviation must be noted in Fig.1, Fig.2 and Fig.4.	Decision accepted. Edited figures.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 19 and Line 105: should be "Stomata" Line 46 – Line 49: need to be reorganized. Line 216: Conclusion is insufficient, and relevant contents need to be added.	Decision accepted. Text edited as requested
Optional/General comments	The manuscript entitled "Physiological and Ultrastructural Characteristics of Leaves of Eucalyptus Clones Destined for Matrix Selection" aimed to observe the physiological and ultrastructural characteristics of eucalyptus clones's leaves destined for matrix selection. The results have certain application value.	Decision accepted. Text edited as requested

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There is no conflict of interest and ethics