



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44818
Title of the Manuscript:	Doses of magnesium sulphate in productivity of oil palm (Elaeis guineensis)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Paper present the useful information but needs to address the quarries attached before its final publication. Results seem to be location specific. Authors need to be focused on the global scale for the benefit of the overall readers throughout.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		Paragraph of the rewritten introduction as requested by the reviewer. In the introduction there is no need for tables because the problem of sun fertility as well as magnesium deficiency has been well discussed throughout the manuscript. The discussion of soil fertility is in the text, no irrigation was used, only rainwater. The paragraph was deleted as requested by the reviewer, only the paragraph relevant to the search that was rewritten was left. The conclusion topic was rewritten in the manuscript. The remaining corrections were reviewed, accepted and corrected in the manuscript as requested by the reviewer.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		