



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44805
Title of the Manuscript:	PRODUCTION OF <i>Caesalpinia pyramidalis</i> Tul IN DIFFERENT SUBSTRATES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is based on greenhouse study. Title and abstract are appropriate to the study. Sufficient background information is given in introduction with defined objective. Appropriate methods and procedures were followed. Results are nicely presented and interpreted. But, only organic substrates without inorganic fertilizers were presented, need to present data on both the factors i.e. organic substrates and chemical fertilizers, and interactions of both the factors. Discussion is scientific and supported by available evidences. Conclusion is informative. References need revision according to guidelines of the journal.	The data with fertilizers were not presented because there was no significant difference, the data were the same as the ones without, and this was said in the text, we found it unnecessary to include the data.
Minor REVISION comments	Need to present data on both the factors i.e. organic substrates and chemical fertilizers, and interactions of both the factors. Indicated in the manuscript.	We consider it unnecessary to include in the article, we are sending the data as supplementary material in annex, we can also make available the statistical analysis that we did and that did not give significant interception, if still they think necessary we can include in the text.
Optional/General comments	Need to add data on organic carbon, NPK content of soil	ok

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	no

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>