



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44763
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient solution for production and quality of strawberry grown in substrate
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Comment [5]: Please write physical and chemical or physio-chemical or physico-chemical Comment [6]: Please remove it or write fresh and dry matter (225.4 g plant⁻¹ and 27.5 g plant⁻¹) Comment [7]: Please remove the symbol (,) and write and between both of them Comment [8]: Please remove it Comment [9]: Please write it viz. Duch, use the symbol (,) in all the keywords not (;) Comment [10]: Please don't write in italic Comment [21]: Please check the sentence, both of the values are of commercial solution Comment [29, 30, 32, 37 39, 78, 79, 81, 83, 84, and 86]: Please check the spelling or write colour/ colourful Comment [48]: Please check the sentence or write During the conduction of experiment, the average temperature, relative humidity and average daily radiation was 19.2^oC, 75 % and 949.7kJ m⁻². Comment [53]: Please check the word and write sulphur not sulfur Comment [92]: Please add number which mentioned in the reference section Comment [96]: Not clear to understand Comment [97]: Please write and Comment [104]: Reference style is not according to guidelines of journal. Please remove space b/w year volume and page number and write 2015;7(1):16-27. Please follow it in all the references. Comment [107]: Reference style is not according to guidelines of journal. Please remove the symbol (.) between Journal name and write J Apic Res. Please follow this pattern in all references which have short name of the Journal. Comment [108 and 112]: Please remove the year because already mentioned, in this reference Comment [109]: Please write in <i>italic</i> Comment [110]: Please give space after journal name or before the year Comment [111]: Please follow same pattern in the references where it mentioned (Italic or not)	We agree with the reviewer and perform all the corrections in the manuscript
Minor REVISION comments	Comment [1]: Align text to the right (According to guidelines of journal) Comment [2, 3, 4, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31, 33, 35, 36, 38, 43, 44, 45, 46, 49, 50, 51, 52, 54, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 67, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 82, 85, 86, 90, 91, 93, 94, 95, 98, 99, 100 and 101]: Please remove it or remove the excessive use of word the Comment [11, 13, 18, 56, 66, 68, 69]: Please remove the symbol (,) Comment [19]: Please remove symbol (,) and write and Comment [25]: Please write 68.0 Comment [26]: Please remove it Comment [40]: Start the paragraph with The Comment [41]: Please remove it Comment [42]: Write it in sentence case like Vitamin C Comment [55]: Please remove the symbol (;) Comment [87]: Please remove it because already mentioned in the title of table Comment [88]: Please write F.F.M. Comment [89]: Please check the meaning Comment [102]: Write in capital letter like Vitamin C Comment [103]: Please write in small letter like p not P Comment [105]: Please add the symbol (.) after journal name Comment [106]: Please give space before the year	We agree with the reviewer and perform all the corrections in the manuscript



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	Other comments 1. Lack of acknowledgement section and competing interest of the author. 2. Authors name and address is missing in the manuscript. 3. Author contributions section is missing.	We disagree, because all these items were sent in the original manuscript
----------------------------------	--	---

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	According to the reviewer