



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44718
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Quality of Cacao Tree Seedlings Genotype TSH1188 Produced under Different Seasons and Irrigation Depths
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstracts: Remove dates. Include values for some results obtained. Remove first line. Results: Poor technical presentation. Report specific results obtained for each parameter and treatment. Concentrate on biological rather than mathematical perspectives. Use tables to present values. After the result, do the discussions. Present representative photos of seedlings under different treatments	All changes have been made. Thanks for the suggestions. We made the corrections that the other brokers requested and we believe that the work has become clearer and easier to understand. Regarding the representative photos of each treatment we chose not to put them because they would have to be represented for each time and for each studied variable, generating an unnecessary quantitative, besides not having witch to evaluate visibly the difference between the treatments.
Minor REVISION comments	References: Journal names to be in italics. Date is publication after author's name. As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper. Kindly see the following link: http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20	We follow the guidelines of the magazine: http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/51/authors-instruction https://drive.google.com/file/d/0BzvYtXLTJZjMzYwcTJwZ2g5ZFE/view "All references should follow the following style: Reference to a journal: <i>For Published paper:</i> 1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. <i>Clin Exp Allergy</i>. 2002;32(4):489-98."
Optional/General comments		