



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44717
Title of the Manuscript:	Change of main components and physiological functions of post-fermented green tea with reduced caffeine.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors did not show any statistical significance when comparing changes in different figures. They should revise the figures.	Statistical analyses were described in the materials and methods section, and the statistical information of each figure was added in the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	Minor comments: 1. In materials and methods, they should add the name of reagents they used in different experiments. 2. In line 66, DW should write elaborately as distilled water. 3. The authors should mention the name of green teas as control in 2.5 of materials and methods. 4. The authors should delete full stop (.) in research title 5. The font and font size are not similar in the article. They should revise it.	Authors corrected all points reviewer commented.
Optional/General comments	The research article entitled "Change of main components and physiological functions of post-fermented green tea with reduced caffeine" summaries the physiological and main component changes of post fermented green tea. The manuscript is well written on emphasizing its health benefits.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	