



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44381
Title of the Manuscript:	FORMATION OF YELLOW PASSION FRUIT SEEDLINGS UNDER DIFFERENT SUBSTRATES AND WATER BLADES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Comment [1]: Align text to the right (According to guidelines of journal) Comment [2]: Use appropriate symbols in all places of manuscript where these symbols applied, like ×, not x Comment [3]: Range of keywords/ Number of keyword is not according to guideline of journal, (Keep it between 4-8) Comment [7]: Please check the sentence and values and correct them. Like.... 1. 1449,00 cm² and 1263,94 cm² should be 1449.00 cm² and 1263.94 cm² 2. Solo should be soil. Comment [8]: Please check the values (9,18 and 7,66 or 9.18 and 7.66) Comment [13]: Reference style is not according to guidelines of journal. Please remove space and write 2015;7(1):16-27. Please follows it in all references. Comment [14]: Please check the references section. This reference is missing in the text. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [5]. Comment [15]: Please remove the year because it is also mentioned with volume and page number. Comment [16]: Please remove the year because it is also mentioned with volume and page number.	All suggestions were adhered to.
Minor REVISION comments	Comment [4]: Write it in sentence case or lowercase, like of, not Of. Comment [5]: Please write it like 65 °C or 65°C, not 65 ° C Comment [8b]. Attach a separate table of treatments with parameters/ characters/ variables of crop and mentioned in the discussion with table number for better understanding. Comment [10]: Check the sentence or write goat and poultry manure Comment [9]: Remove the symbol (,) between organic matter content Comment [11]: Remove the symbol (,) between organic matter content Comment [12]: Please remove the space or write materials, not materials , Comment [17]: Please give space before Development Comment [18]: Please check the journal name. If right, then write Scientific.	All suggestions were adhered to. Considering that the equations are plotted in the graphs, we chose to improve the visibility of the figures instead of changing them to the table (note 8b and 8a).
Optional/General comments	Comment [6]: Please check P value according to guidelines of journal. Comments [8a]: Figures are not clear to show or understand findings of research. - Lack of acknowledgement section and competing interest of the author. - Authors name and address is missing in the manuscript. - Author contributions section is missing. - Please check plagiarisms - Align text to both the left or right margins in 1-2 paragraph of introduction section	All suggestions were adhered to.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No.