



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44360
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Genetic Variability and Heritability Analysis in Sugarcane Clones Obtained by FUZZ (True Seed) at Northern of Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	➤ The authors should attend to all the correction highlighted on the manuscript. ➤ The results reported in Table 5 is faulty; hence the analysis should be rerun, for instance, for Nber _ Stem/3m h²b supposed to be $\sigma^2_g / \sigma^2_p = 101.77 / 129.07 * 100 = 78.85\%$ which is contrary to the reported in Table 5. ➤ Since this paper addresses variability and heritability study, table 5 is central to the abstract and the conclusion, in lieu of this, the abstract and the conclusion is faulty.	All correction are done Additions were made to the manuscript underlined in green and yellow for corrections
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	