



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44059
Title of the Manuscript:	Postharvest quality of banana 'Prata Anã' treated with microalgae coating
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Minor mistakes in the abstract were corrected in the manuscript itself. 2. In the methodology, it was not mentioned that how many fruits/bunches were taken for each treatment and how many replications were taken. 3. The statistical analysis applied does not provide comparison between treatments selected for the experiments. I suggest to apply for Duncan Multivariate tests or CRD with SAS for the data analysis. Then the authors can apply correlation or regression analysis for treatments. 4. Scientific names should be italicized. Highlighted the scientific names which were not italicized.	2. Line 61-62: The experimental design was completely randomized, with plots subdivided over time with four repetitions and three fruits per plot. 3. The experimental design was completely randomized, with plots subdivided over time. Since for the coatings factor we applied tukey test, in the time factor regression was applied, and when significant in the recoating and time interaction we used regression. I added the ANAVA summary in the manuscript for a better understanding of the statistics used. Thanks! the other corrections were adhered to directly in the text.
Minor REVISION comments	1. References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. 2. References were not arranged according to the journal format. All the references should be arranged according to the journal format. Example Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.	
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>