SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_44031**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluation of the insect pest population dynamics in common bean cultivars in relation to the foliar fertilization with potassium silicate.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	• *Keywords: Crysodeiscis includens; Diabrotica speciosa; Liryomusa sp.; Hemiptera (*all keywords are not in abstract writing), is this another research? And liryomusa sp is not correct should be *Liriomysa* sp • You can add data that shows morphological or physiological changes that occur in peanuts after being treated with potassium silicate fertilizer so that it can affect the population of plant pests • the description only discusses the order of insects, but for families and species not discussed, their status as a pest or attacking what parts of the plant are not clearly discussed in the article so that there is an impression that this research was not carried out by the authors themselves	Thanks for your suggestion. We accept your considerations of correction. Corrections can be found highlighted in yellow in the text.
Minor REVISION comments	• **Table 1.** Summary of the analysis of variance (ANAVA). the correct word in () should be anova • 67----Lepdoptera should be Lepidoptera • preferably for figure 4 using English instead of Latin / Spanish	
Optional/General comments	discussion needs to be sharpened and clear	