



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44031
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the insect pest population dynamics in common bean cultivars in relation to the foliar fertilization with potassium silicate.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study 'Evaluation of insect pest population dynamics in common bean cultivars in relation to the foliar fertilization with potassium silicate' is interesting and gives information for both scientists as well as farmers. Unfortunately the study has quite a few shortcomings: 1. First of all, English language is not good, some tables and figures are still in Portuguese, wording is clumsy and sometimes hard to understand, etc. 2. Material and methods is not described in enough detailed way, sampling dates, times and methods are not given correctly, statistical analyses should be described more thoroughly, names of commercial products should be given etc. 3. Results and discussion: as the titles of tables and figures are not described in detailed way, I did not understand between what statistically significant differences were found and where these data came from. 4. References: almost all used articles were not cited in WOS were in Spanish or Portuguese and unavailable for me.	Thanks for your suggestion. We accept your considerations of correction. Corrections can be found highlighted in yellow in the text.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>