**SDI Review Form 1.6**

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | **Journal of Experimental Agriculture International** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_JEAI_43641** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Landscape Ecology and Geotechnologies as Tools for the Management of Biological Conservation** |
| Type of the Article | **Original Research Article** |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| | **Reviewer's comment** | **Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | | |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | The manuscript is well-established.<br>Its language is clear and understandable<br>Introduction part of the study indicates the purpose, aim and the objectives of the paper.<br>Background of the paper is adequate. "Abstract" is emphasizing the objectives and the scope of the research clearly.<br>The article is based on a research, so it has a proper and acceptable method.<br>Methodology is directly focused on the subject, main determinants were identified definitely, evaluation parameters were set plainly and setting the results of the research clearly<br>Discussion is also clear<br>References are sufficient and qualified. Citations and references are correct in style.<br>The article is an original paper compromising the results of a research. The subject is original and relevant for the journal which also compromises interesting data for the reader.<br>This study should be published. | NOTED |
| **Optional/General** comments | | |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20