



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43194
Title of the Manuscript:	Validation of flowering models for classifying maize into maturity groups in the different Agro-ecologies of West and Central Africa.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I think it is a good research but it needs more discussion in contrast to previous works for more validation in present work.	The discussion had been expanded with contrasting previous studies
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The consistency of the not maintain like work started in 2007.... Mention the name of statistic model Work on varieties but not mention which variety showed the efficient performance in this model.	1. The name of the statistical was mentioned as Regression models 2. It was mentioned that 40% of the 100 varieties were more efficient in predicting days to flowering using the best model
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		