

Growth, production and essential oil content of basil genotypes in hydroponic conditions under salt stress

Helio Gondim Filho¹, Petterson Costa Conceição Silva^{1*}, Mairton Gomes da Silva¹, Monikuelly Mourato Pereira¹, Tales Soares Miler¹, André Dias de Azevedo Neto², Rafael Souza Vasconcelos¹, Hans Raj Gheyi¹

¹Centro de Ciências Agrárias, Ambientais e Biológicas, Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da Bahia, Rua Rui Barbosa, 710, CEP 44380-000, Cruz das Almas, BA, Brazil. ²Centro de Ciências Exatas e Tecnológicas, Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da Bahia, Rua Rui Barbosa, 710, CEP 44380-000, Cruz das Almas, BA, Brazil.

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study aimed to evaluate the growth, production and essential oil content of three basil genotypes subjected to salinity in **deep flow technique** hydroponic system.

Study design: The experimental design was in randomized blocks, in split plots, with three replicates.

Methodology: The main plots were used to evaluate six levels of electrical conductivity of the solution (ECsol 2.45 (control, without salt); 4.32; 6.38; 8.36; 10.37 and 12.27 dS m⁻¹), obtained by the addition of NaCl in the nutrient solution. In the subplots, three basil genotypes ('AlfavacaBasilicão', 'Grecco a Palla' and 'Toscano Folha de Alface') were studied.

Results: Plant height was less sensitive than **stem diameter** to salinity. Shoot dry matter decreased by 1.93 g (6.87%), 1.33 g (6.84%) and 1.13 g (6.47%), respectively, in the genotypes 'AlfavacaBasilicão', 'Toscano Folha de Alface' and 'Grecco a Palla' with per unit increase in salinity (dS m⁻¹). The essential oil content increased for the basil genotypes of Grecco a Palla (2.62 mL 100g⁻¹) and Toscano Folha de Alface (2.09 mL 100g⁻¹) corresponding to 20.3 and 9.7% per unit increase in ECsol, respectively.

Conclusions: The basil growth was affected by salinity, and the **plant height** is the least sensitive variable to increase of salinity in nutrient solution. The 'AlfavacaBasilicão' is the most recommended genotype for **shoot dry matter** and oil production up to salinity of 10.37 dS m⁻¹ in the nutrient solution, and 'Grecco a Palla' is the most indicated for ECsol of 12.27 dS m⁻¹. At ECsol 10.37 dS m⁻¹, the double of population density, allow the cultivation of basil without loss of oil productivity.

Keywords: *Ocimum basilicum*L.; *Ocimum minimum*L.; salinity; essential oil.

1. INTRODUCTION

Soil salinization due to anthropic action in arid and semi-arid regions has mostly been caused by inadequate management of water and soil and by the use of brackish water in irrigation. The low rainfall levels, characteristic of these regions, are not sufficient to leach the salts from the root zone to the deeper layers of the soil, further intensifying the salinization process [1].

Salinity can lead the plants to stress conditions and can affect them by means of two components: (1) Osmotic component, resulting from the high concentration of solutes in soil solution or irrigation water, which causes water deficit due to the reduction in the osmotic potential; (2) Ionic component, resulting from the high levels of Na^+ and Cl^- , causing ionic toxicity [2]. As consequence of the alteration in the water and ionic homeostasis, decreases in photosynthesis and growth are commonly the symptoms observed in plants under salinity [3].

In addition to the studies related to plant breeding, to increase the tolerance of species to salinity [4], the form of cultivation and management practices can bring benefits for the growth of crops under these conditions. In this context, hydroponic cultivation has been pointed as a viable technique for the use of brackish waters. [5] state that in this system the responses of plants to salinity may be better than those obtained in soil, considering the greater availability of water.

Soilless cultivation of leafy vegetables in a closed hydroponic system has gained interest and popularity among farmers due to the improvement in production and quality [6] and [7], as well as the efficient use of water and nutrients.

In semi-arid regions, brackish water sources are commonly used for agricultural production. To satisfy the local market using brackish water in agricultural cultivation, it is necessary to apply different production methods to increase crop yield. Thus, hydroponic cultivation of medicinal herbs, such as basil, is a viable alternative of cultivation to meet the consumers and increase the income of farmers in these regions.

Basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) has great economic importance and can be used for 'in natura' consumption and essential oil production. Some studies have shown that there may be increase [8] or even reduction [9] in the essential oil content of basil cultivated under salt stress, but these responses may also vary according to the genotype used.

Considering that different species and/or different cultivars of the same species can behave differently when subjected to the same environment, varying in growth, yield and other characteristics of agronomic interest [10], this study aimed to evaluate growth variables and content, yield and productivity of essential oil of three basil genotypes subjected to salinity under hydroponic conditions.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Description of Experimental Location, Design and Structure

The experiment was carried out in a 7.0-m-wide, 24-m-long, East-West oriented greenhouse from November to December 2016, at the Water and Soil Engineering Center/NEAS of the Federal University of Recôncavo of Bahia/UFRB, in Cruz das Almas, Bahia, Brazil (12° 40' 19" S; 39° 06' 23" W; altitude of 220 m).

The experimental design was in randomized blocks in split plots with three replicates and seven plants in each replicate. Treatments consisted of six levels of electrical conductivity of the nutrient solution (ECsol): 2.45 (control, without salt); 4.32; 6.38; 8.36; 10.37 and 12.27 dS m⁻¹ in the main plots and three basil genotypes ('AlfavacaBasilicão', 'Grecco a Palla' and 'Toscano Folha de Alface') in the subplots.

Plants were grown in deep flow technique (DFT) hydroponic system adapted in PVC tubes (forming a 0.02-m-deep film of solution), according to [11]. Plants were spaced by 0.30 × 0.25 m and distributed along 6-m-long tubes. Each plot (cultivation channel) comprised a plastic tank to store the nutrient solution, composed of a ballcock to maintain constant volume (50 L), and an electric pump to pump the solution to the cultivation channel.

2.2 Crop Conduction and Nutrient Solution Management

Seeds of the basil genotypes 'AlfavacaBasilicão' and 'Toscano Folha de Alface' (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) and 'Grecco a Palla' (*Ocimum minimum* L.) were sown in phenolic foam (2 x 2 x 2 cm). Irrigation using public-supply water with electrical conductivity (ECw) of 0.35 dS m⁻¹ was performed until seven days after sowing (DAS). Then the seedlings were transferred to a nursery (NFT system - nutrient film technique), where they received Furlani's nutrient solution [12] at 50% for a period of nine days. Thereafter, the seedlings were transplanted to the definitive cultivation system, receiving a formulation of nutrients at 100%, and the treatments began to be applied. Each cultivation channel (main plot) had 21 plants (seven of each genotype). At transplantation, the mean shoot dry matter of the seedlings was estimated as 0.10 g and used to calculate the absolute and relative growth rates.

The water volume consumed by plants was replaced using public-supply water by an automatic supply system built with PVC tubes with nominal diameter of 0.20 m, similar to that adopted by [13].

The solution was prepared using water with different levels of salinity (0.35, 2.22, 4.28, 6.26, 8.27 and 10.17 dS m⁻¹), obtained by adding NaCl in public-supply water. After that, the fertilizer salts were added according to the solution described by [12], to reach the respective values of electrical conductivity mentioned in the subitem 2.1. The pH of the solution in the cultivation channel was kept between 5.5 and 6.5 using KOH or HCl.

Nutrient solution recirculation was carried out using an analog timer, at intermittent intervals of 15 min (06:00 to 18:00 h) and every 2 hours (18:00 to 06:00 h), and each irrigation event lasted 15 min.

2.3 Variables Evaluated and Statistical Analysis

Harvest was carried out at 28 days after transplanting (DAT), when the following parameters were determined: plant height (PH), stem diameter (SD) and shoot fresh matter (SFM). Plant height was measured using a ruler from the collar region to the apical meristem. Stem diameter was measured in the collar region, at 2 cm height, using a digital caliper. Immediately after weighing the plants, the material was placed in paper bags and dried in an oven at 45°C until constant weight, to quantify shoot dry matter (SDM).

Shoot dry matter values were used to calculate the absolute growth rate (AGR, in g day⁻¹) and relative growth rate (RGR, in g g⁻¹ day⁻¹), using Eq. 1 and Eq. 2, respectively:

$$118 \quad AGR = \frac{SDM_2 - SDM_1}{T_2 - T_1} \quad (1)$$

$$119 \quad RGR = \frac{\ln SDM_2 - \ln SDM_1}{T_2 - T_1} \quad (2)$$

120 Where: SDM_1 (estimated as 0.1 g) and SDM_2 are the values of dry matter at times T_1 (1 DAT)
121 and T_2 (28 DAT).

122 Essential oil content (OC) was determined based on the dry matter of leaves and
123 inflorescences ($DM_{(l+i)}$) of five plants per plot, through hydrodistillation as described by [10].
124 Distillation time was equal to 2 h, counted from the moment the first oil drop fell in the
125 collector. The final volume of oil extracted was determined in the Clevenger apparatus. The
126 volumes were used to calculate oil content (OC, mL 100g⁻¹) (Eq. 3), oil yield (OY, mL plant⁻¹)
127 (Eq. 4), and oil productivity (OP, mL m⁻¹ tube) (Eq. 5), as described by [10].

$$128 \quad OC = \frac{\text{Volume}_{(oil)}}{DM_{(l+i)} - \left(\frac{DM_{(l+i)} \times U}{100} \right)} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

$$129 \quad OY = \frac{OC \times DM_{(l+i)}}{100} \quad (4)$$

$$130 \quad OP = OY \times \text{Number of plants} \quad (5)$$

131 Where: U is the moisture of LDM, obtained after drying at 45°C; N° plants is the number of
132 plants in one meter of cultivation channel.

133

134 The data were subjected to analysis of variance by F test. Nutrient solution salinity levels
135 were evaluated by polynomial regression analysis and genotypes were compared by
136 Tukey's test ($P = 0.05$). In the linear regressions, the percentages of increase or decrease
137 per unit of ECsol were obtained by the ratio between the angular and linear coefficients
138 multiplied by 100.

139 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

140

141 The variables plant height, stem diameter, shoot dry matter, absolute growth rate and oil
142 content were significantly affected by the interaction between the genotypes and ECsol. On the
143 other hand, shoot fresh matter, relative growth rate, oil yield and oil productivity were
144 individually affected by both factors, genotypes tested and ECsol (Table 1).

145

146 **Table 1. Summary of analyses of variance for plant height (PH - cm), stem diameter (SD - mm), shoot fresh matter (SFM - g), shoot**
 147 **dry matter (SDM - g), absolute growth rate (AGR - g day⁻¹), relative growth rate (RGR - g g⁻¹ day⁻¹), essential oil content (OC - mL**
 148 **100g⁻¹), essential oil yield (OY - mL plant⁻¹) and essential oil productivity (OP - mL m⁻¹tube) of three basil genotypes (GEN) grown**
 149 **in a hydroponic system under different salinity levels of the nutrient solution (ECsol) 28 days after transplanting.**

SV	DF	Means squares								
		PH	SD	SFM	SDM	AGR	RGR	OC	OY	OP
Blocks	2	0.53 ^{ns}	0.70 ^{ns}	64.50 ^{ns}	0.15 ^{ns}	0.0002 ^{ns}	0.000006 ^{ns}	0.028 ^{ns}	0.00034 ^{ns}	0.0084 ^{ns}
ECsol	5	365.37 ^{**}	34.93 ^{**}	20190.43 ^{**}	265.91 ^{**}	0.3648 ^{**}	0.00508 ^{**}	1.699 ^{**}	0.0072 ^{**}	0.182 ^{**}
Residual 1	10	12.48	0.44	203.52	4.65	0.0064	0.00006	0.056	0.00009	0.0023
Genotype (GEN)	2	4157.76 ^{**}	78.62 ^{**}	1285.81 ^{**}	122.70 ^{**}	0.1683 ^{**}	0.00110 ^{**}	0.303 ^{**}	0.0023 ^{**}	0.057 ^{**}
ECsol x GEN	10	25.53 ^{**}	2.92 ^{**}	95.70 ^{ns}	8.84 ^{**}	0.0121 ^{**}	0.00006 ^{ns}	0.360 ^{**}	0.00024 ^{ns}	0.006 ^{ns}
Residual 2	24	5.66	0.34	97.32	1.90	0.0026	0.00004	0.030	0.0002	0.0042
CV 1 (%)		10.90	8.65	14.61	19.78	19.97	4.75	13.08	11.19	11.19
CV 2 (%)		7.34	7.60	10.10	12.66	12.77	3.77	9.58	15.11	15.11
Genotypes		Means ¹								
A	-	-	-	105.86 a	-	-	0.176 a	-	0.0991 a	0.0504 a
G	-	-	-	98.17 a	-	-	0.162 b	-	0.0802 b	0.0218 b
T	-	-	-	88.98 b	-	-	0.163 b	-	0.0792 b	0.0436 ab

150 SV – Source of variation; DF – Degrees of freedom; CV- Coefficient of variation; ** Significant at $P = 0.01$; ns - Notsignificant. A – ‘Alfavaca Basilicão’, G –
 151 ‘Grecco a Palla’, T – ‘Toscana Folha de Alface’. ¹Means followed by different letters indicate significant differences at $P = 0.05$ (Tukey’s test).

According to the follow-up test, the genotypes 'Toscano Folha de Alface' and 'AlfavacaBasilicão' had lower reductions in plant height (2.52 and 1.27 cm) than in stem diameter (0.59 and 0.73 mm) corresponding to per unit increase in ECsol of 3.34 and 3.72% in plant height and 5.03 and 4.53% in stem diameter (Fig. 1A and B). However, for the genotype 'Grecco a Palla', plant height decreased by 1,27 cm (4.41%) and stem diameter decreased by 0.25 mm (3.50%) per unit increase in ECsol. When the genotypes were compared at each ECsol level (Table 2), highest values of plant height were always found in the genotype 'AlfavacaBasilicão', followed by 'Toscano Folha de Alface' and then by 'Grecco a Palla'. The genotypes 'AlfavacaBasilicão' and 'Toscano Folha de Alface' showed the highest values of stem diameter, except under ECsol of 4.32 dS m⁻¹, at which the genotype 'Toscano Folha de Alface' was superior to the others (Table 2).




Fig. 1. Effect of salt stress - electrical conductivity of nutrient solution (ECsol) on three basil genotypes grown in a hydroponic system, 28 days after transplanting. Plant height - PH (A), stem diameter -SD (B), shoot dry matter - SDM (C), absolute growth rate - AGR (D), shoot fresh matter - SFM (E) and relative growth rate - RGR (F).

** Significant at $P = 0.01$ by Student's test. A - 'Alfavaca Basilicão', G - 'Grecco a Palla' and T - 'Toscano Folha de Alface'.

The differences in plant height and stem diameter are directly associated with the intrinsic characteristics of each genotype, which have different growth habits, and may or may not be

associated with the effect of salinity, as can be observed in the control treatment (Table 2). For instance, the genotype 'AlfavacaBasilicão' stands out with respect to growth in height, whereas the genotype 'Grecco a Palla' has higher lateral branching and number of leaves. Differently from the cultivation in soil, in hydroponics plant architecture is an important information for crop management practices. In hydroponic cultivation, taller plants usually need staking because the weight of the shoots may cause them to lodge.

Regardless of the genotype studied, shoot fresh matter decreased by 12.74 g (6.69%) per unit increase in ECsol, and was estimated a shoot fresh matter production of 159.24 g at the lowest salinity level (2.45 dS m⁻¹) (Fig.1E). Differing from our results, some studies on salinity found differences between genotypes with respect to shoot fresh matter. [14] observed that the shoot fresh matter of the cv. 'Napoletano' was 45% higher than that of the cv. 'Genovese', under control conditions. Conversely, under salt stress (100 and 200 mMNaCl), these differences decreased to 24 and 10%, respectively, indicating that the deleterious effect of salt stress was higher on the cv. 'Napoletano' than on the cv. 'Genovese'. [15] found higher shoot fresh matter production in *Ocimum minimum* compared with *Ocimum basilicum*, using brackish waters (ECw of 3 and 6 dS m⁻¹) to prepare the nutrient solution.

Shoot dry matter decreased by 1.93 g (6.87%), 1.33 g (6.84%) and 1.13 g (6.47%), respectively, in the genotypes 'AlfavacaBasilicão', 'Toscano Folha de Alface' and 'Grecco a Palla' with per unit increase in salinity (dS m⁻¹)(Fig.1C). Based on the differences between genotypes at each salinity level (Table 2), it is possible to observe that up to ECsol of 10.37 dS m⁻¹ the 'AlfavacaBasilicão' had greater shoot dry matter production compared with the others and, therefore, is the most recommended for cultivation in hydroponic system with brackish water up to this limit. Nonetheless, at the highest salinity level (12.27 dS m⁻¹), there were no significant differences in shoot dry matter among the genotypes. Thus, any of the genotypes studied can be recommended for cultivation.

Table 2. Effect of salt stress - electrical conductivity of nutrient solution (ECsol) on three basil genotypes grown in a hydroponic system, 28 days after transplanting. Plant height (PH), stem diameter (SD), shoot dry matter (SDM), absolute growth rate (AGR) and essential oil contents (OC).

Genotypes [#]	ECsol (dS m ⁻¹)					
	2.45	4.32	6.38	8.36	10.37	12.27
PH (cm)						
A	60.22 a	55.61 a	53.22 a	49.00 a	43.11 a	33.61 a
G	25.94 c	23.50 c	19.83 c	18.00 c	16.44 c	12.89 c
T	34.56 b	31.17 b	31.33 b	28.17 b	26.33 b	20.39 b
SD (mm)						
A	11.46 a	10.52 b	9.33 a	7.96 a	6.72 a	5.73 a
G	6.27 b	6.26 c	5.69 b	4.92 b	4.67 b	3.88 b
T	12.41 a	12.35 a	9.00 a	8.26 a	7.32 a	5.44 a
SDM (g plant ⁻¹)						
A	22.88 a	20.35 a	15.79 a	11.19 a	9.73 a	3.46 a
G	14.53 b	11.93 b	11.61 b	7.74 b	5.69 b	3.36 a
T	15.41 b	13.85 b	11.51 b	9.22 b	5.35 b	2.58 a

AGR(g day ⁻¹)						
A	0.843 a	0.750 a	0.581 a	0.410 a	0.357 a	0.124 a
G	0.534 b	0.438 b	0.426 b	0.283 b	0.207 b	0.121 a
T	0.567 b	0.509 b	0.422 b	0.337 ab	0.194 b	0.092 a

OC (mL 100g ⁻¹)						
A	1.15 a	1.58 a	1.52 a	2.36 a	2.46 a	1.53 c
G	1.29 a	1.50 a	1.63 a	1.90 b	2.63 a	2.75 a
T	1.38 a	1.27 a	1.73 a	1.48 c	2.09 b	2.27 b

Means followed by different letters indicate significant differences between means of genotypes in each level of ECsol, at P= 0.05 (Tukey's test). #A – 'Alfavaca Basilicão', G – 'Grecco a Palla' and T – 'Toscano Folha de Alface'.

The degree of severity of salt stress depends on several factors, such as species, genotype, development stage, exposure time [16] and [17] and the types of salts to which plants are subjected [18]. Evaluating the effect of salinity (80 mMNaCl) on six basil genotypes, [19] reported that the 'Toscano Folha de Alface' was more tolerant than the others evaluated. [20] associated the variations in the tolerance amongbasil genotypes to morphological and physiological characteristics and to metabolic adaptations to salt stress. In basil plants, [18] observed that leaf dry matter, stem dry matter, root dry matter, leaf area, shoot height and root length of basil (*Ocimumbasilicum* L. cv. 'Genovese') treated with 50 mM NaCl did not differ from the values in the control treatment (0 mM), but decreased drastically when plants were treated with 25 mMNa₂SO₄.

Salinity decreased the **absolute growth rate** of all basil genotypes tested. The largest reduction per unit increase in salinity occurred in the genotype 'Toscano Folha de Alface' (7.25%), followed by 'AlfavacaBasilicão' (7.0%) and 'Grecco a Palla' (6.45%) (Fig. 1D). According to the differences among genotypes at each salinity level (Table 2), it can be noted that up to salinity level of 6.38 dS m⁻¹ the genotype 'AlfavacaBasilicão' showed higher absolute growth rate than the others. However, from this level on, the differences among the genotypes decreased and, at the highest level applied (12.27 dS m⁻¹), there was no difference inthe absolute growth rate valuesof the studied genotypes.

The deleterious effects of salinity disturb different physiological and metabolic processes of plants [21], and photosynthesis is one of the most affected [22]. Growth is a process that requires an investment of energy for biomass accumulation. However, stress tolerance mechanisms represent an additional energy costs for the plant to cope with the negative effect of salinity, diverting resources from growth to maintenance [23].

Regardless of the genotype tested, the **relative growth rate** decreased by **0.0061 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹** (6.68%) with per unit increase in **ECsol** (dS m⁻¹) (Fig. 1F). These results demonstrate that the boost in growth is strongly affected by salinity. This effect on rice cultivars subjected to salt stresses of 8.0 and 15.0 dS m⁻¹ was observed by [24].

Essential oil content increased linearly with the ECsolin all genotypes except in 'AlfavacaBasilicão', which reached the highest content (2.15 mL 100g⁻¹) at ECsol of 8.36 dS m⁻¹. In the 'Grecco a Palla' and 'Toscano Folha de Alface' genotypes, the essential oil contents increased by **0.159 mL 100g⁻¹** (20.3%) and **0.096 mL 100g⁻¹** (9.7%) per unit increase in **ECsol**, presenting in the treatment with highest ECsol estimated values of 2.62 and 2.09 mL 100g⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 2A).




Fig. 2. Effect of salt stress - electrical conductivity of nutrient solution (ECsol) on three basil genotypes grown in a hydroponic, 28 days after transplanting on essential oil content (A); essential oil yield (B) and essential oil productivity (C).

** Significant to $P = 0.01$ by Student's test. A – 'Alfavaca Basilicão', G – 'Grecco a Palla' and T – 'Toscana Folha de Alface'.

At the low levels of salinity (ECsol 2.45, 4.32 and 6.38 dS m⁻¹), there were no differences in the oil content among the genotypes (Table 2). However, in the higher salinity treatment (ECsol 12.27 dS m⁻¹), the oil content (1.53 mL 100g⁻¹) of the 'Alfavaca Basilicão' genotype was 44.36% and 17.45%, respectively, lower than in 'Grecco a Palla' (2.75 mL 100g⁻¹) and 'Toscano Folha de Alface' (2.27 mL 100g⁻¹) genotypes (Table 2).

Regardless of the genotype studied, plants showed decreases in essential oil yield (0.007 mL plant⁻¹) and essential oil productivity (0.0364 mL m⁻¹ tube), corresponding to per unit increase in ECsol of approximately 5% (Fig. 2B and C). [25] in a study about the effect of salinity on basil, reported that the cv. 'Genovese' obtained maximum essential oil production at NaCl dose of 60 mM in comparison to the cv. 'Rubi', which showed linear increase up to the NaCl dose of 90 mM. Taken together the results of shoot dry matter and oil content, allow inferring that up to

10.37 dS m⁻¹ the 'AlfavacaBasilicão' is the most indicated genotype for oil production purpose. However, at 12.27 dS m⁻¹ the 'Grecco a Palla' genotype showed the same shoot dry matter and higher oil content compared with the others, suggesting that this genotype is the most recommended for oil production in this salinity level.

The salt-induced reduction in shoot dry matter production of basil plants permits the reduction of space between plants along the profile (cultivation channel), increasing the number of plants per linear meter of tube and, consequently, increasing the productivity of essential oil. Some studies have shown that the optimization of plant density in hydroponic cultivation improves the production of lettuce, amaranth and basil [6], [26] and [7].

According to Fig. 2.C, the mathematical simulation for oil productivity indicates values of 0.6088, 0.3205 and 0.2514 mL m⁻¹ tube in the ECsol of 2.45, 10.37 and 12.27 dS m⁻¹, respectively. Considering that from 10.37 dS m⁻¹ the genotypes showed a reduction of shoot dry matter higher than 50%, it is possible to double the oil productivity by doubling the population density. In this scenario, the oil productivity in the ECsol of 10.37 and 12.27 dS m⁻¹ would be respectively 0.6411 and 0.5027 mL m⁻¹ tube, indicating that the basil can be grown, up to 10.37 dS m⁻¹ without loss of oil productivity.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The basil growth was affected by salinity, and the plant height is the least sensitive variable to increase of salinity in nutrient solution.

The 'AlfavacaBasilicão' is the most recommended genotype for shoot dry matter and oil production up to salinity of 10.37 dS m⁻¹ in the nutrient solution, and 'Grecco a Palla' is the most indicated for ECsol of 12.27 dS m⁻¹.

At ECsol 10.37 dS m⁻¹, the doubling of population density, allow the cultivation of basil without loss of oil productivity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author thank the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado da Bahia (FAPESB), Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), and the Universidade Federal do Recôncavo da Bahia (UFRB) for financial support.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Authors HGF and HRG participated in the conception and management of the experiment, besides writing the article. Authors PCCS, MGS, MMP and RSV were responsible for collecting, tabulating and analyzing data, besides writing the article. Authors ADAN and TMS participated in the management of the experiment and collaborated in interpreting data and writing the article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Pedrotti A, Chagas RM, Ramos VC, Prata APN, Tadeu-Lucas, AA, Santos PB. Causas e consequências do processo de salinização dos solos. Rev. Eletrôn. Gest., Educ. e Tec. Ambient. 2015;19:1308-1324. Portuguese.

- 312 2. Willadino L, CamaraTR. Tolerância das plantas à salinidade: Aspectos fisiológicos e
313 bioquímicos. EnciclopédiaBiosfera. 2010;6:1-23.Portuguese.
- 314 3. Ismail AM, Horie T. Genomics, physiology, and molecular breeding approaches for improving
315 salt tolerance. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 2017;68:19.1-19.30. English.
- 316 4. Kavitha PG, Miller AJ, Mathew MK, Maathuis, FJM. Rice cultivars with differing salt tolerance
317 contain similar cation channels in their root cells. J. Exp. Bot. 2012;63:3289-3296. English.
- 318 5. Soares TM, Silva EFF, Duarte SN, Melo RF, Jorge CA, Bonfim-Silva EM. Produção de alface
319 utilizando águas salinas em sistema hidropônico. Irriga.2007;12:235-248.Portuguese.
- 320 6. Maboko MM, Du Plooy CP. Effect of plant spacing on growth and yield of lettuce (*Lactuca*
321 *sativa* L.) in a soilless production system. South African Journal of Plant and Soil, 2009;26:195-
322 198. English.
- 323 7. Maboko MM, Du Plooy CP. High-plant density planting of basil (*Ocimumbasilicum*)
324 duringsummer/fall growth season improves yield in a closed hydroponic system. Acta
325 Agriculturae, 2013;63:748-752. English.
- 326 8. BernsteinN, Kravchik M,Dudai N. Salinity-induced changes in essential oil, pigments and salt
327 accumulation in sweet basil (*Ocimumbasilicum*) in relation to alterations of morphological
328 development. Ann. Appl. Biol. 2010;156:167-177. English.
- 329 9. Alves LS, PazVPS, Silva AJP, OliveiraGXS, Oliveira FER, Amorim EL. Teor, rendimento e
330 composição química do óleo essencial de plantas de manjeriço submetidas ao estresse salino
331 com NaCl. Rev. Bras. Plant. Med. 2015;17:807-813. Portuguese.
- 332 10. Heckler JC. Sorgo e girassol no outono-inverno, em sistema plantio direto, no Mato Grosso
333 do Sul, Brasil. Ciência Rural, 2002;32:517-520. Portuguese.
- 334 11. Silva MG, Soares TM, Gheyi HR, Oliveira IS, Silva Filho JA, Carmo FF. Frequency of
335 recirculation of the nutrient solution in the hydroponic cultivation of coriander with brackish
336 water. Rev. Bras. Eng. Agríc. Ambiental. 2016;20:447-454.English.
- 337 12. Furlani PR, Silveira LCP, Bolonhezi D, Faquin V. Cultivo hidropônico de plantas. Campinas:
338 Instituto Agrônomo, 1998, 52 pp. Boletim Técnico, 180.Portuguese.
- 339 13. Santos AC, Silva MG, Boechat CL, Chagas DS, Mendes WS. Brackish water: an option for
340 producing hydroponic *Capsicum annuum* in laminar flows of mineral nutrients. Rev. Colomb.
341 Cienc. Hortic. 2018;12:147-155. English.
- 342 14. Mancarella S, Orsini F, Van Oosten, MJ, Sanoubar R, Stanghellini C, Kondo S,
343 GianquintoG, Maggio A. Leaf sodium accumulation facilitates salt stress adaptation and
344 preserves photosystem functionality in salt stressed *Ocimumbasilicum*. Environ. Exp. Bot.
345 2016;130:162-173. English.
- 346 15. Heidari M. Effects of salinity stress on growth, chlorophyll content and osmotic components
347 of two basil (*Ocimumbasilicum* L.) genotypes. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 2012;11:379-384.English.
- 348 16. Parvaiz A, Satyawati S. Salt stress and phyto-biochemical responses of plants - a review.
349 Plant Soil Environ. 2008;54:89-99. English.
- 350 17. Abbas T, Balal RM, Shahid MA, Pervez MA, Ayyub CM, Aqueel MA, Javaid MM. Silicon-
351 induced alleviation of NaCl toxicity in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) is associated with
352 enhanced photosynthesis, osmoprotectants and antioxidant metabolism. Acta Physiol. Plant.
353 2015;37:1-15. English.

- 354 18. Tarchoune I, Degl'Innocenti E, Kaddour R, Guidi L, Lachaâl M, Navari-Izzo F, Ouerghi Z.
355 Effects of NaCl or Na₂SO₄ salinity on plant growth, ion content and photosynthetic activity in
356 *Ocimumbasilicum* L. Acta Physiol. Plant. 2012;34:607-615. English.
- 357 19. Menezes RV, Azevedo Neto AD, Gheyi HR, Cova AMW, Silva HHB. Tolerance of basil
358 genotypes to salinity. J. Agric. Sci. 2017;9:283-295. English.
- 359 20. Barbieri G, Vallone S, Orsini F, ParadisoR, Pascale S, Negre-Zakharov F, Maggio, A.
360 Stomatal density and metabolic determinants mediate salt stress adaptation and water use
361 efficiency in basil (*Ocimumbasilicum* L.). J Plant Physiol. 2012;169:1737-1746. English.
- 362 21. Rahnesan Z, Nasibi F, Moghadam AA. Effects of salinity stress on some growth,
363 physiological, biochemical parameters and nutrients in two pistachio (*Pistaciavera* L.)
364 rootstocks. J. Plant Interact. 2018;13:73-82. English.
- 365 22. Hniličková H, Hnilička F, Martinková J, Kraus K. Effects of salt stress on water status,
366 photosynthesis and chlorophyll fluorescence of rocket. Plant Soil Environ. 2017;63:362-367.
367 English.
- 368 23. Munns R, Gilliham M. Salinity tolerance of crops – what is the cost?. New Phytol.
369 2015;208:668-673. English.
- 370 24. Akita S, Cabuslay GS. Physiological basis of differential response to salinity in rice cultivars.
371 Plant and Soil, 1990;123:p.277-294. English.
- 372 25. TalebiM, Moghaddam M, Pirbalouti, AG. Methyl jasmonate effects on volatile oil compounds
373 and antioxidant activity of leaf extract of two basil cultivars under salinity stress. Acta Physiol.
374 Plant. 2018;40:1-11. English.
- 375 26. Maboko MM, Du Plooy CP. Effect of plant density and harvesting method on yield
376 components of hydroponically grown amaranth. Acta Agriculturae, 2012;947:415-421. English.