



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/AJEA/2628
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing biological efficiency and economic advantages of intercropping and integrated soil fertility management in maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L.) and soybean (<i>Glycine max</i> L.) crops in a Sub-Saharan Africa Region.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The work is interesting and the treatments and their comparisons are correctly presented. One main flaw is the extension of the work. Is there any limit for the total number of pages for this journal? I strongly recommend to shorten the discussion, highlighting the principal findings, and the introduction must also be shortened. Another problem is the about English grammar and vocabulary. There are so many mistakes that it is impossible to point them in detail; pay attention especially in files 81, 91, 100, 162, 189, 309, 310, 321, 323, 328, and so on. For example, the word "actual" (meaning "real") is badly used throughout the work. So, I recommend a strong revision by any English speaking people.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alejandro E. Ferrari
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional de Quilmes, R.S. Peña 352 B1876BXD Bernal, Buenos Aires, Argentina.