



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44592
Title of the Manuscript:	BASE SATURATION, DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION OF ALFALFA CULTIVATED IN SOIL OF BRAZILIAN CERRADO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is accepted with minor corrections. Originality of manuscript : ok, indeed, Alfalfa is an important forage crop in temperate regions and is widely used for animal feeding.	
Minor REVISION comments	References In line 261, delete space Genus and species (<i>Medicago sativa</i>) must be in italic: lines 264, 282, 285, 294 and 303. Indicated in manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Manuscript structure is not respected: It lacks the acknowledgments, competing interests and authors contribution. Material and Methods - It would have been appropriate to provide more guidance with regard to Table 1. (Percentage of chemical and granulometric elements). - Line 94: More details for the exact number of plants that have been measured. - Results and Discussion - At the outcome level, the analysis of variance table is missing to better understand the results. Conclusion In this study, the author (s) presents interesting results, providing the optimal saturation doses for the best fodder yield for this very important economic crop.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Yahia Nourredine</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Oran, Algeria</i>