



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44278
Title of the Manuscript:	Post-Harvest Quality Of The Papaya 'Golden' Submitted Different Biodegradable Coatings And Conditions Of Storage
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Title modification is required as "Effect of Biodegradable Coatings on Postharvest Quality of Papaya (cv. Golden) under Different Storage Conditions" 2. Break the first sentence of abstract into correct simple sentences. 3. In the last sentence of abstract the word "maturation" may be "ripening", please check it. 4. In key words "conservation" should be 'shelf-life' 5. in line no 18 the word 'crop' should be 'harvest'. 6. rewrite the line no 19. 7. Line 32 the word 'mushrooms' should be 'fungal growth' 8. Rewrite line no 32 to 36. 9. Rewrite line 50 to 54. change the word 'destiny' 10. All the large sentences in materials and methods should be written in simpler form and the huge grammatical mistakes should be corrected with use of appropriate English words. 11. Results and discussions are more or less ok except the grammatical mistakes and inappropriate words. In that case please read relevant research papers first. 12. Rewrite the conclusion in more scientific way. 13. The author can revise the paper through Professional English editing.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Prahlad Deb</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Institute of Agriculture, Visva-Bharati University, India</i>