



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_44250
Title of the Manuscript:	Post-harvest quality of Bt green maize produced in different water harvesting techniques with application of rooting
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1.Title- Post-harvest quality of Bt green maize produced in different water harvesting techniques with application of rooting agent/stimulators/stimulants 2. replace Rooting/rooting agent/stimulators in entire manuscript	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1.Abstract-1 line- work/research work 2.Experimental design-can include number of replication 3.Place and Duration of Study- 1line- The experiment 4. Place and Duration of Study-3 line-can include country name 5. Replace work/ research work in entire manuscript 6. Replace rooting/ rooting agent /stimulator in entire manuscript 7.Materials and Methods-71 line-replace soon afterwards 8. Materials and Methods-95,97 line-It was estimated 9. Materials and Methods-102 line-Then be braced himself? 10.Results and Discussion-158,205 line-explain under concentrations of commercial rooting 11. Results and Discussion-271 line- juice explain 12. Results and Discussion-An interaction..- 5 line- where rooting was applied/ explain what induced rooting 13. Results and Discussion-273 ,278 line- can mention rooting agent 14. Results and Discussion-282 line-authors/researchers 15. Results and Discussion-284 line-delete that is 16.Conclusion-311 line- Conclusion 17. Conclusion-314 line-systems, the roots of maize were able to obtain more from the soil 18.Conclusion- can include 1-2 line/s on future scope of research work 19.References-418-420 line- different font 20.References-can include more recent 2018 year references	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Appreciate the author/s for the manuscript preparation.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>R. Mahalakshmi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>India</i>