



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43981
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Yield Potential of Wild Blackberry (Rubus. spp) under conventional production practices in Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is based on two-season field experiment. The title and abstract are appropriate to the study. Introduction is not sufficient. Appropriate methods and procedures were followed, however need to give some important information as indicated in the manuscript. Results are nicely presented in form of tables. Interpretation needs revision and also language should be improved. Discussion is scientific with supporting references. Conclusion is sound having good practical utility. References should be cited according to guidelines of the journal.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Indicated in the manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Punctuations should be attended properly and language should be improved.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>R. K. Mathukia</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Junagadh Agricultural University, India</i>