SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_43981**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Growth and Yield Potential of Wild Blackberry (Rubus. spp) under conventional production practices in Kenya**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper has contributive results and new findings.	
Minor REVISION comments	**I suggested a basic sentence/reference for INTRODUCTION. It should be certainly used in revised version of the paper to improve the manus.**	
Optional/General comments	**I recommend that present version of the manus should be published after minor revision. Present Figure, Table and References should be kept in the text. Suggested new reference should be added.**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Nebi Bilir***
Department, University & Country	***Faculty of Forestry, Suleyman Demirel University, Turkey***