



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43687
Title of the Manuscript:	Ripening banana (Musa spp.) under different conductions
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the INTRODUCTION, the following ideas need to clearly come out. 1). What work has been reported on the specific parameters (smothering and calcium carbide) for the ripening of banana 2). What are do these parameters suggest from literature? 3). What information is derived from these parameters vis-à-vis: - a). Shelf life of the fruits - b). Titratable acidity - c). °Brix 4) The knowledge gap to be filled with this work. In the METHODOLOGY, please, inform how did you perform: 1) the solid soluble analyse. 2) the treatments (T1: Witness, T2: Use of calcium carbide, T3: smothering) In the CONCLUSION, please, show (in summary) which treatment better fitted and why?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Delete the word MEN in the fifth line of the abstract. LINE 68: SANTOS, et al is a reference. LINE 69: The average temperature could be given as MINIMUM and MAXIMUM.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dovel Branquinho Ernesto
Department, University & Country	Instituto Superior Politécnico de Manica, Mozambique