



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43649
Title of the Manuscript:	Bluprins® as Alternative Bud Break Promoter for 'Maxi Gala' and 'Fuji Suprema' apple trees
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript is accepted with minor changes. It has scientific quality, good statistical support, the parameters evaluated were adequate. This study is very important for apples grower and has good results because that Bluprins had good results on apple production. Are reliable results because were done during 4 years and two varieties.</p> <p>Title: Is ok</p> <p>Abstract: Is ok</p> <p>Introduction: Is ok, but is important add the importance of apple production in Brazil (annual production, acreage, yield and production value).</p> <p>Materials and methods: Write the main agronomic characteristics of 'Maxi Gala' and 'Fuji Supreme' apple tree cultivars (mainly chilling requirements.) Is very important add chilling hours accumulated in each year.</p> <p>Results and discussion: Are ok, only explain the phenological stage C-C3. Tables are clear, good discussion.</p> <p>Conclusion: Is ok</p> <p>References: Are ok.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Raúl Leonel Grijalva-Contreras</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales Agrícolas y Pecuarias, México</i>