



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_43425
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic diversity among accessions of <i>Calotropis procera</i> based on agronomic characters
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No	
Minor REVISION comments	1.Keywords-11 line-can include <i>Calotropis procera</i> 2.Introduction-16 line-Southwest 3.Introduction-39 line-delete comparatively little 4.Materials and Methods- 63 line- Paraiba state, can include country name 5. Materials and Methods-87 line-3 day 6. Results and Discussion-176 line-evaluated accessions 7. Results and Discussion-182 line-what materials, plant/seed/ ? 8. Results and Discussion-206 line- delete accession 28 should not be used in future studies/ accession 28 can be preserved 9. Results and Discussion-241 line-two or three 10. Results and Discussion-280 line-explain S.j. 11. Results and Discussion-286 line-delete given their 11.Conclusion-Conclusion 12.References- can include more recent 2018 year references	
Optional/General comments	Appreciate the author/s for manuscript preparation	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>R.Mahalakshmi</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>India</i>