SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_43350**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Histological analyzes reveal that isodiametric cells with cell division and starch reserve are promising in the culture of cell suspensions of coffee.**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	-	
Minor REVISION comments	1.Title- **Histological analyzes…in *Coffee arabica* cell suspension culture** 2.Abstract- 8 line- Aim-To describe 3. Abstract- Methodology-10 line- During 28 years of culture period, samples of cell culture were collected at four days interval 4. Abstract- Methodology-12 line- delete At each collection 5. Abstract-Place and Duration of Study- can include month of experiment 6.Keywords- can include *Coffee arabica* 7.Introduction-51 line-delete this manner of culture 8. Introduction-67 line-purposes/application 9.Materials and Methods-89 line-Can include age of explants 10.Materials and Methods-replace MS/2 by half MS 11. Materials and Methods-118 line- collection/treatment 12.Results- 185 line-explain material 13.Results-193 line-delete however 14.Results-Figure 5-222 line- 4 th 15.Results-236 line-delete that is 16.Discussion-243 line-plant/crop, delete as well…same species 17.Discussion-245 line- authors/ researchers 18.Discussion-246 line-delete that this species 19.Discussion-248 line- and thus 20. Discussion-263 line-can write Genus *C.canephora* 21. Discussion-265 line-Scientific name of inga tree 22. Discussion-275 line-explain GRR,GR 23. Discussion-311 line-delete plant 24.Conclusion-353 line-Conclusion 25. Conclusion-363 line-system of *Coffee arabica* 26.References-440 line-Verdiel JL, details et al 27.References- can include 2018 year references	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is well written. Appreciate the author/s for the excellent work.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***R.Mahalakshmi***
Department, University & Country	***India***