



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_42854
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity and structure of adult and regenerating forest component in inselberg 'Alto-Montana', Paraíba-Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This study is important for the biodiversity, especially for the flora conservation. But the main contribution of study is not clearly described. The study's findings and the contribution of the study should be described in the abstract. There are several comments that describe in the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Some paragraphs in the discussion section are needed to check the sentence structure and revise the sentences. Some are not clear meaning what the author(s) want to describe and discuss.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zar Chi Hlaing
Department, University & Country	United Nations University Institute for the Advanced Study of Sustainability (UNU-IAS), Japan