



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_42718
Title of the Manuscript:	Agricultural wastes as alternative substrates in the production of beet seedlings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	---------------------------	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

Compulsory REVISION comments	1) Article is accepted for publication by incorporation of minor corrections made in the documents and were also pasted below. Note to author:1) Peer reviewer corrections were made in pink, the words or lines underlined were for author's check for the content required for the text or correction. 2) The words or lines streaked out are deleted by peer reviewer while doing corrections in place of those lines or phrases or words. Reviewer comments: 1) Please change the font of the text from upper case to lower case and the size from 10 to 12 2)Regarding subheadings, either should all letters be capitalized or should follow normal font	
Minor REVISION comments	<u>Suggested correction in Title</u> 1) In the title, the term "substrate " required to replace with an appropriate term" bio-degradable nutrient resource". <u>Corrections in Materials and Methods</u> 1)The source information of commercial substrate "bioplant" is missing 2) Table 1 has no vertical and horizontal columns displayed. 3)In Table 1, please incorporate the chemical composition of commercial substrate (CS) which is "bioplant" 4)Why six plants are only considered useful in the experimental unit? 5) Please check the statistical anlysis for various tests used and spelled correctly. <u>Corrections in Results and Discussion</u> 1) Figure 2 is displaying visually the difference between T1 and T2 with significance, please check the statement for number of leaves. 2)The term "average positions and intensity were not described in the methods or discussion for the data presented in x axis of figure 2 3) Figure 4, please check the label "Stem" on y-axis for parabola B., they look separated. Conclusion Please include future lines of research for continuation of organic residual supplements of agricultural waste for cost effective production of beet and for quality of tuber It is an excellent contribution to the agricultural science in the current day world of employing lots of commercial fertilizers that pollute climate, food and consumer.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satya SSNarina
Department, University & Country	Virginia State University, USA