SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Experimental Agriculture International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_42610**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Safflower (Carthamus tinctorius L.) Characterization in the Pernambuco State Forest Middle Zone**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. The authors are advised to check for gross English language, especially for English grammar, and to avoid using long sentences. Some examples are cited below:** **change "This work aims identify" to "This work aims to identify";** **change "Was evaluated the performance of six genotypes of the safflower..." to " The performance of six genotypes of the safflower was evaluated..."** **Please correct similar mistakes.** **2. Discussion is suggested to be better described, telling readers why these parameters were measured, and which were good ones for breeding selection.** **3. Conclusion may should tell us which genotypes of *Carthamus tinctorius* L., adapted relatively better in the Pernambuco State Forest Middle Zone after the experiment.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Yan Zhao Shanghai***
Department, University & Country	***Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China***