



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_42456
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of morphometry, chemical composition and physiological quality of castor seed of different cultivars and orders of racemes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Accept as is minor revision	
Optional/General comments	Introduction -Line no. 17 write of instead of for. -Line no. 40 write influences instead of influence. Materials and Methods -Line no. 59 write concentrating on instead of concentrating in. -Line no. 60 write March of instead of March to. -Line no. 76 write bags of instead of bags at. -Line no. 77 write order for instead of order of. -Line no. 84 write It was instead of It were, write 100 seeds instead of 100 seed. -Line no. 88 write solution to instead of solution for. -Line no. 95 write counts instead of count. -Line no. 110 write cool instead of cooled. -Line no. 124 write hours of instead of hours in. -Line no. 133 write speeds instead of speed. -Line no. 134 write seedlings was instead of seedlings were. Results and Discussion -Line no. 173 write between instead of of. -Line no. 176 write limitations of instead of limitations in. -Line no. 185 write to observe instead of observe. -Line no. 216 write percentages instead of percentage. -Line no. 219 write dormancy are instead of dormancy is. -Line no. 224 write is formed into to instead of are formed in. -Line no. 227 write formed into to instead of formed in -Line no. 264 write results from instead of results to, write described instead of describe. -Line no. 336 write explains instead of explain, write varied from instead of varied in, write order for instead of order of. -Line no. 344 write role in instead of role to. -Line no. 353 write values of instead of values for. -Line no. 366 write value instead of values. -Line no. 369 write that instead of who. -Line no. 371 write relation of instead of relation with. -Line no. 391 write differences between instead of differences for, write width of instead of width in. -Line no. 407 write values of instead of values for, write theses value instead of values. -Line no. 410 write values of instead of values. -Line no. 426 write order for instead of order of. -Line no. 428 write order for instead of order of. Conclusion -Line no. 447 write order for instead of order of.	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Azza Anwar Abou-Arab</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Egypt</i>