



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_42145
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF COWPEA PLANTS INOCULATED AND COINOCULATED WITH RIZOBACTERIAS IN ASSOCIATED WITH PHOSPHATE FERTILIZATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title needs changed. There are a number of "English use" cases that need to be addressed. The basis for 'negative interactions when both bacteria are inoculated should be addressed earlier when they are mentioned, rather than at the very end of the ms. A basis for not also including treatments with Azospirillum alone should be mentioned, or at least tell why it was used I co-inoculations in the first place.	
Minor REVISION comments	In my opinion, Tables 1 and 2 would make more sense if they followed the other tables, in which case they may not even be necessary. Other tables would benefit from editing to put a line or two between the upper and lower sections Some cases of 'proofs' seem overstated.	
Optional/General comments	Lots of good observations	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Clint Magill
Department, University & Country	Department of Plant Pathology & Microbiology, Texas A&M University, USA