



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_42016
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Different Levels of Inclusion for Forest Restoration Assessment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The research is good as it compares different floristic results obtained from sampling different cbh of 6 and 15 cm upwards. As it concludes, this is profit for restoration activities and forest agencies. The possibility of having found a result of no difference would set a different view, since the effort of sampling younger trees had be no necessary. However they found the opposite, concluding that sampling lower cbh is necessary for this location. Complementary, the paper is well written and presented.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jose Luis Martinez
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Tabasco, México