



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41505
Title of the Manuscript:	Amaranthus palmeri and Salsola kali Control and Peanut Variety Tolerance when Using Pyroxasulfone in the Texas High Plains
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Since the authors only tested <i>Amaranthus palmeri</i> and <i>Salsola kali</i> I think their general statement on effectiveness of pyroxasulfone for weed control was over stretched. Rather, it should be "pyroxasulfone can be an effective herbicide for control of <i>Amaranthus palmeri</i> and <i>Salsola kali</i> in peanut". Generally, the paper was well written.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	O. Adewale Osipitan
Department, University & Country	University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA