

DESIGNING RIPARIAN STRIPS AS A FUNCTION OF SEDIMENT YIELD IN THE BRAZILIAN SEMIARID

ABSTRACT

Studies assessing technologies to design riparian strips using plant covers, based on sediment yield in river basins, are required for environmental protection. The removal of semi-shrubby, native vegetation in the Brazilian semiarid region, has contributed to the degradation of semiarid basins. The aim of this study was to design a riparian strip for the Jacu River in the semiarid region of Pernambuco as a function of sediment yield. Experiments were conducted during the years 2008-2011 in the Jacu River basin at Serra Talhada, Pernambuco State, Brazil. The sediment yield in the Jacu River channel was obtained by measuring suspended and background solid discharge. The riparian strip width estimated in the riparian areas of the Jacu River basin was 15 meters. It was concluded that for this study, the sediment yield time and observation of hydrological data were important factors for determining the riparian strip width with greater security.

Keywords: Riparian vegetation. Degradation. Erosion. Soil conservation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Studies for assessing technologies to design riparian strips using plant covers, based on the sediment yield in river basins, are needed for environmental protection. Some forms of riparian strips are agricultural landscape features that have arisen as a result of an environmental law or are areas that are difficult to work in (Stutter et al., 2012). Otto et al. (2008) reported that large permanent vegetation strips produce an effective reduction of pesticides carried by runoff, because of the ability of vegetation to slow runoff and promote water infiltration and adsorb herbicides. These authors also reported that these strips can improve the quality of water and produce additional environmental benefits when used with other soil management practices.

The use of riparian areas as water quality management tools, primarily derived from the studies of agricultural watersheds, where low phosphorus and large nitrate reductions in the suspended sediment are observed (Likens et al, 1977, Peterson et al., 2001), in addition to influencing the processes of direct flow generation due to rain, peak flood attenuation, runoff power dissipation due to the roughness of banks, heat water balance, stability of banks and ravines, nutrient cycling, and sediment control (Zakia, 1998).

A riparian strip performs many key functions, such as nutrient uptake, trapping of sediment or pesticides. Therefore, a number of different forms of protection strips have been applied in the field according to relief, steepness and location for use. In addition to capturing nutrients and sediments, riparian strips can provide multiple benefits in terms of biodiversity and water regulation. However, the current practice conceptualizes landscape components interacting with a range of goods and ecosystem services (Costanza et al., 1997). Borin et al. (2004) argue that vegetation strips or buffer

strips represent a soil conservation technique of low potential for reducing the transport of pesticides by runoff from adjacent agricultural areas to water bodies.

Studies have shown that the buffer function of a riparian strip is complex, because not all factors can be fully controlled and the final destination of chemicals is closely related not only to their chemical properties but also with the characteristics of events, such as rain, harvest and plant cover conditions (Lacas et al., 2005). Much has been currently discussed about riparian areas forming part of plant systems essential to environmental balance, representing therefore a central concern for sustainable rural development.

Despite their undeniable environmental importance, riparian forests have been eradicated in many parts of Brazil. There are a few major studies on micro experimental basins which provide limited information for the development of hydrological and sedimentological criteria that can be utilized to determine the minimum riparian strip width in a riparian zone in order to ensure the protection of waterways (Lima Zakia, 2000). On the other hand, the Brazilian Forest Code of 1965 only presents strict limits of width for vegetation strips on watercourses and does not define scientific criteria for determining the width of riparian areas.

Accordingly, we must review our methodologies to design, understand and evaluate riparian buffer strips to maximize their potential benefits, which should be according to local needs, pressures and landscape. It is necessary to consider the vulnerability of a number of watershed areas to prioritize resources for the design of effective riparian strips. This may involve evaluating vulnerable ecological function areas and intensive farming areas (Stutter et al., 2012).

Studies are needed, especially in areas with steep slopes and subjected to intensive land use, that will help determine the width of vegetation strips for the restoration of riparian vegetation using dense vegetation cover of variable width that is able to retain soil losses (Karssies Prosser, 2001). There is a critical need for field assessment to validate models of erosion simulation and production of sediment in river basins with the objective of determining riparian strip widths (Flag 1998). The objective of this study therefore was to design a riparian strip for the Jacu River in the semiarid region of Pernambuco, Brazil as a function of sediment yield and test it using field data.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Location and characteristics of experimental area

The study was conducted in the Jacu River basin located within the municipal boundary between cities of Serra Talhada and Floresta, forming part of the São Pedro River basin and part of the Pajeú River basin, both in the state of Pernambuco, with an area of 2.10 km². The basin is located between coordinates 38°14'39.3 W and 08°00'15.9 S.

The characteristic climate is Bwh type - tropical climate called semiarid, warm and dry, with summer-autumn rains, according to the Köppen classification, with average annual rainfall of 484.06 mm yr⁻¹ for the period from 1992 to 2007 and average annual temperature above 25°C with summer rains that delay to fall and extend to April (Mascarenhas et al., 2005).

In the study area, there is a predominance of Fluvic Neosol (EMBRAPA, 2013), with limitation regarding the water storage capacity due to the higher percentage of sand in these soils, especially in those the coarse sand predominates over thin sand.

Physical and water parameters of the Jacu River basin

The physical and water parameters of the watershed were obtained from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission image processing, SC.24-X-A (1: 250,000), as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Physical and water parameters of the Jacu River semiarid basin

Parameters	Value
Area	2.10
Perimeter	6.50
Basin length	2.00 km
Form factor	0.0497
Length of main channel	2.66
Length of main channel	1.85
Number of channels in the basin	34 channels
Basin order	Third order
Number of 1st order channels	26 channels

Number of 2nd order channels	7 channels
Number of 3rd order channels	1 channel
Total length of channels	11.06km
Average length of channels	0.43km
Drainage density	1.32 km/km ²
Hydrographic density	12.38 channels /km ²
Compactness coefficient	1.26
Highest basin altitude	638.9m
Lowest basin altitude	422.4m
Altimetry amplitude of the basin	216.5m
Steepness of the main channel	17.26m/km
Concentration time	0.984 hour.
Area	2.10km ²

Vegetation and use of the Jacu River semiarid basin

For preparing the vegetation chart for the Jacu River basin, photo interpretation techniques were used over a GEOCOVER image that, according to Tavares Junior (2009), corresponds to a mosaic of Landsat images that were orthorectified and processed with a high quality standard (Geo Cover Technical Guide) adopted for the georeferencing of other orbital products suggested by Lima (1975), Novo (1989), Moraes (1999), and Florenzano (2002). In the case of the Jacu River basin, the chart was based on the individualization of vegetation type units (shrub semi-shrubby and upland agriculture) and used according to their tonal and textural characteristics with prior field verification to validate the results obtained in the initial stage. The values obtained as a result of quantification of the vegetation chart and use in the Jacu River basin are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Distribution of vegetation classes and use in the Jacu River semiarid basin.

Interval	Area (m ²)	Soil use (%)
Shipht cultivation upland	364.611	17.24
Shrubby	258.248	12.21
Semi-shrubby	1.492.411	70.55
Total	2.115.270	100.00

Soil physical and chemical characteristics

For physical (Table 3) and chemical characteristics (Table 4), samples were collected at depths of 0-20 cm, 20-40 cm, 40-60 cm, and 60-80 cm; air dried; crushed; homogenized; and passed through a 2 mm mesh. The particle size distribution was determined using the test tube method, and the particle density was obtained using the volumetric flask method and volumetric moisture (EMBRAPA, 1997). The soil density was determined by the volumetric cylinder method (Klute, 1986). The total porosity was obtained as where P_t = the total porosity expressed in percentage (%); D_s = the soil density (g cm⁻³), and D_p = the particle density (g cm⁻³).

$$P_t = \left(1 - \frac{D_s}{D_p}\right) \cdot 100$$

Table 3. Soil physical characterization at depths of 0-20 cm, 20-40 cm, 40-60 cm, 60-80 cm in the Jacu River basin.

Depth	D_s	D_p	Sand	Silt	Clay	Total Porosity	Texture
	g cm ⁻³		g kg ⁻¹			%	
0 -20	1,14	2,59	72,94	18,61	8,46	55,9	Sandy clay loam soil
20-40	1,20	2,50	66,48	23,65	9,87	52,0	Sandy clay loam soil
40-60	1,13	2,66	72,88	17,39	9,74	57,5	Sandy clay loam soil
60-80	1,17	2,63	75,33	15,76	8,91	55,5	Sandy clay loam soil

D_s = soil density; D_p = particle density

For the chemical characteristics of soil samples, soluble cations and exchangeable cations were determined (EMBRAPA, 2009), as presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Chemical characterization of soil in the Jacu River semiarid basin collected at depths of 0-20 cm, 20-40cm, 40-60 cm, 60-80 cm.

Sorption Complex	Depth (cm)			
	0 - 20	20 - 40	40 - 60	60 - 80
Exchangeable cations				
Ca ²⁺ (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)	0.52	0.57	0.50	0.67
Mg ²⁺ (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)	0.35	0.31	0.35	0.35
Na ⁺ (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)	0.27	0.25	0.58	0.38
K ⁺ (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)	0.16	0.57	0.50	1.35
SB (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)	1.30	1.70	1.93	2.75
PST (%)	13.09	10.63	21.89	14.97
CTC (%)	2.0	2.11	2.42	3.05
Soluble Cations				
pHes	7.30	7.20	7.05	7.02
CE(dS m ⁻¹)	1.41	2.07	4.28	6.70
Ca ²⁺ (mmol _c L ⁻¹)	0.85	1.02	1.71	1.72
Mg ²⁺ (mmol _c L ⁻¹)	0.35	0.45	0.90	0.99
Na ⁺ (mmol _c L ⁻¹)	8.13	15.23	45.31	77.91
K _s (mmol _c L ⁻¹)	1.10	1.41	2.54	3.09
Cl ⁻ (mmol _c L ⁻¹)	7.60	10.91	11.69	10.60
RAS (mmol _c L ⁻¹) ^{0.5}	10.19	16.18	32.45	54.84

Determination of the Jacu River riparian strip width

The Jacu River riparian strip width was determined using the equation proposed by Karssies and Prosser (1999) and Karssies and Prosser (2001), which is appropriate for designing the width of vegetation strips in riparian areas. The equation, which depends on the slope area and annual soil loss, can be expressed as

$$w = Y + \frac{1}{\rho_g H_g} \left[\left(\frac{Aa}{I_s} \right) - \left(\frac{\rho_b H_b^2}{2 \tan \theta} \right) \right] \quad (1)$$

where a = the riparian area (ha); A = the sediment yield of the basin (t ha⁻¹); B = the deposited sediment weight (t); G = the sediment storage capacity of the vegetation (t); w = the width of the permanent vegetation strip (m); I = the strip length (m), I_s = deposit width (m), Y = the additional width required for sediment accumulation (m), ρ_s = the mean deposit density (tm⁻³), ρ_g = the height of sediment deposit across the strip (m), H_g = the plant height (m) H_b = height of the sediment deposit across the strip (m) or sediment deposited in front of the vegetable strip (m), tanθ = slope angle tangent, and w = the riparian strip width (m). The weight of sediment accumulated in the vegetation strip B is the deposit volume (m³) multiplied by the average deposit density (tm⁻³). The sediment storage capacity of the vegetation strip is G (t).

The extent of sediment deposition over the riparian strip is much smaller than the total length of riparian area (I), due to the convergence of flow in preferential flow paths which can be expressed as a flow convergence factor (C) defined as:

$$C = \frac{I}{I_s} \quad (2)$$

where I = the strip length (m), and I_s = the deposit width (m).
Substituting equation (2) into equation (1), the result is

$$w = Y + \frac{1}{\rho_g H_g} \left[\left(\frac{CAa}{I} \right) - \left(\frac{\rho_b H_b^2}{2 \tan \theta} \right) \right] \quad (3)$$

Equation (3) was used in the calculation. Karssies Prosser (2001) recommend y = the additional width of 2 m for moderately erodible soils and 5 m for very erodible soils.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Jacu River basin Characterization

The Jacu River semiarid basin has an area of 2.10 km², which covers the entire area drained by the river system (Alcantara et al., 2005). The basin has a form factor of 0.0497 and a drainage density of 1.32 km / km² [which shows that the basin has an average drainage] (Table 3). The density can vary from 0.5 km / km² for basins with poor drainage to 3.5 km / km² or more for well-drained basins (Villela and Mattos, 1975). The roughness value obtained for the basin was 0.2 due to its sparse vegetation cover, with small areas of shrubby arboreal caatinga (moderately uncovered) with upland crops in the rest of the area and extensive breeding of small animals.

Design of the Jacu River riparian strips

The sediment yield (Y) was calculated by the solid discharge obtained in the exudate according to the procedure of the USGS (1973), from the sum of the sample collections of suspended sediment with the use of one of the sediment samplers (DH-48), with the collection of bottom sediment. For both the suspended sediment sampling and the bottom sediment sampling, the Equal Width (IIL) method was used.

The sediment yield (Y) ranged from 0.45 to 1.72 t¹ y⁻¹ and was considered to have low values for 4 years. The respective amounts of suspended sediment concentration (SSC) ranged from 874 to 376 mg L⁻¹, which was considered high for a small watershed and for low values of the discharged liquid (Piscoya, 2012). The sediment concentration values of watercourses in semiarid regions exhibited different behaviors when compared with events in a humid climate. In these regions vegetation is limited by the production of sediment, while in the dry regions vegetation does not promote an efficient coverage of soil, thus allowing the generation of large volumes of sediment being carried by runoff coming to waterways (Vanoni, 1975).

Table 5 shows the riparian strip widths designed, following the method of Karssies and Prosser (1999) and (2001), for the Jacu River according to the sediment yield in the period from 2008 and 2011. For design, the sediment deposition area was 30 m for calculating the convergence factor (C), the soil density and density of particles were obtained from the flow depth of 0 to 20 cm (Table 3), the average plant height was 1 m, and the sediment deposit height was 0.1 m.

Table 5. Width dimension (ω) of riparian vegetation strips in the Jacu River as a function of sediment yield (Y) [according to Karssies & Prosser (1999; 2001)]

Year	Y	W – Riparian strip width
(t ha ⁻¹)	(m)	
2008	1.722	15.35
2009	1.568	14.40
2010	0.152	5.71
2011	0.451	7.54

Y = sediment yield in Jacu River basin in 2008 to 2011 (Piscoya, 2012).

It was observed that the dimensioning of riparian strip width for the Jacu River basin was concatenated to the sediment yield values that inherited the variability associated with the distribution pattern of precipitation and local runoff. However, this variation in riparian strip width values is not a disadvantage, since the values that should be considered for the Jacu River basin are the highest.

Although the values of 15 meters for the riparian strip width were indicated, based on the sediment yield determined for the period 2008-2011 and the interaction of hydrological, physical, soil, climate and vegetation factors, these are not yet final. The 4-year period is possibly not enough to capture the whole range of variability of the semiarid region, requiring the observation of hydrological data and production of larger sediments in order to have a more conclusive riparian strip value for the Jacu River. Karssies Prosser (2001) presented widths of 26 m for all erosion rates in the range 40 t ha⁻¹.

Inácio et al. (2005) also applied the methodology of Karssies Prosser (2001) in experimental plots and obtained riparian strip widths ranging from 5 to 10 m, respectively, for slopes between 4 and 38% for watercourses in southern Bahia.

Verstraeten et al. (2006) speculated that the vegetation strips in riparian areas in the form of dense strips of grass or even of shrubby vegetation are considered effective in controlling sediment transport; however, many of these strips have been established in experimental plots, and rarely have been evaluated at the river basin scale, which is important in assessing the real impact of these strips

on the control of siltation and water quality of rivers. Thus, Verstraeten et al. (2006) evaluated the effectiveness of these strips applied in isolation in river basins and suggested that for use in river basins, vegetation strips should be accompanied by other practices to reduce sediment loads in rivers and the gross erosion on slopes in order to maintain their efficiency.

4. CONCLUSION

The determination of the Jacu River riparian strip width using the Karssies Prosser method, based on sediment yield, seems to be promising for the conditions of a small river basin.

The value of riparian strip width considered in this study for the riparian areas of the Jacu River basin is 15 meters.

The sediment yield time and observation of hydrological data are important factors for the determination of riparian strip width with greater security.

REFERENCES

1. Alcântara E.H., Amorim, A.J., 2005. Análise morfométrica de uma Bacia Hidrográfica Costeira: Um estudo de Caso. *Caminhos de Geografia*. 14, 70-77.
2. Bandeira, J.V., 1998. Estudio del comportamiento del sedimento de fondo em el Rio de la Plata, em laregião de Puerto Carmelo, com elempleo de trazadorradioactivo. in: Encontro de Engenharia de Sedimentos ABRH, Belo Horizonte, pp. 325-333.
3. Borin, M., Bigon, E., Zanin, G., Fava, L., 2004. Performance of a narrow buffer strip in abating agricultural pollutants in the shallow subsurface water flux. *Environment Pollution*. 131, 313–321.
4. Costanza, R., Wilson, M.A., Troy, A., Voinov, A., Liu, S., 1997. The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature*. 1, 253–260.
5. EMBRAPA-Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária, 2013. Sistema Brasileiro de Classificação de Solos, ed. Embrapa Solos, Rio de Janeiro.
6. EMBRAPA-Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária, 2009. Manual de análises químicas de solos, plantas e fertilizantes, ed. Embrapa Informação Tecnológica, Brasília.
7. EMBRAPA-Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa agropecuária, 1997. Manual de métodos de análise de solo, ed. Europa, Rio de Janeiro.
8. Florenzano, T.G., 2002. Imagens de Satélite para Estudos Ambientais, ed. Oficina de Textos, São Paulo.
9. Inácio, E.S.B., Cantalice, J.R.B., Araújo, Q.R., Nacif, P.G.F., 2005. Quantificação da erosão em sistema agroflorestal e pastagem na região sul da Bahia. *Revista Caatinga*. 18, 238-244.
10. Karssies, L., Prosser, I., 2001. Designing filter strips to trap sediment and attached nutrient, River and Riparian Land Management Technical Guideline. *Land and Water*. 1, 8.
11. Karssies, L., Prosser, I., 1999. Guidelines for riparian filter strips for Queensland Irrigators, ed. Land and Water. Canberra
12. Klute, A., 1986. Water retention: laboratory methods, in: KLUTE, A. (Ed.), *Methods of soil analysis*. American Society of Agronomy, Madison pp. 635-62.
13. Lacas, J.G., Voltz, M., Gouy, V., Carlier, N., Gril, J.J., 2005. Using grassed strips to limit pesticide transfer to surface water: a review. *Agronomy for sustainable development*. 25, 253-266.
14. Likens, G.E., Bormann, F.H., Pierce, R.S., Eaton, J.S., Johnson, N.M., 1977. *Biogeochemistry of a Forested Ecosystem*, ed. Springer-Verlag, New York.
15. Lima, W.P., Zakia, M.J.B., 2000. Hidrologia de Matas Ciliares. *Matas Ciliares In: Conservação e Recuperação*, Editora da Universidade de São Paulo: Fapesp, São Paulo.
16. Lima, M.I.C., 1975. Introdução à Interpretação Radargeológica, ed. Departamento de Recursos Naturais e Estudos Ambientais, Rio de Janeiro.
17. Mascarenhas, J.C., Beltrão B., Miranda, J., Souza-Junior, L.C., Galvão M., Pereira, S., 2005. Projeto cadastro de fontes de abastecimento por água subterrânea: diagnóstico do município de Vitória de Santo Antão, estado de Pernambuco. <http://www.cprm.gov.br/rehi/atlas/peernambuco/relatorios/VDSA173> (accessed 05.02.17).
18. Moraes, R.M., 1999. Sensoriamento Remoto e Classificação de Imagens, ed. Universidade Federal da Paraíba – UFPB, João Pessoa.
19. Novo, E.M.L., 1989. Sensoriamento Remoto: Princípios e Aplicações, ed. Edgard Blücher Ltda., São Paulo.

- 270 20. Otto, S., Vianello, M., Infantino, A., Zanin, G., Di Guardo, A., 2008. Effect of a full-grown
271 vegetative filter strip on herbicide runoff: Maintaining of filter capacity over time. *Chemosphere*.
272 71, 74-82.
- 273 21. Peterson, B.J., Wollheim, W.M., Mulholland, P.J., Webster, J.R., Meyer, J.L., Tank, J.L., Marti, E.,
274 Bowden, W.B., Valett, H.M., Hershey, A.E., McDowell, W.H., Dodds, W.K., Hamilton, S.K.,
275 Gregory, S., Morrall, D.D., 2001. Control of nitrogen export from watersheds by headwater
276 streams. *Science*. 292, 86-90.
- 277 22. Piscoya, V.C., 2012. Manejo em bacia hidrográfica do riacho Jacu: Produção de sedimentos,
278 dimensionamento de faixa de vegetação ciliar e salinidade da água em barragem subterrânea,
279 ed. Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Recife.
- 280 23. Stutter, M.I., Chardon, W.J., Kronvang, B., 2012. Riparian buffer strips as a multifunctional
281 management tool in agricultural landscapes: introduction. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 41,
282 297-303.
- 283 24. Tavares Júnior, J.B., Santos, D.R., HayaKawa, E.H., Prado, B.R., Martins, V.A., Antunes, M.A.H.,
284 2009. Avaliação dos dados GeoCover a partir de dados de campo coletados com receptores
285 GPS. *Simpósio Brasileiro de Sensoriamento Remoto (SBSR)*. 14, 1889-1896.
- 286 25. USGS – United States Geological Survey, 1973. *Techniques of Water Resources Investigations*,
287 Washington.
- 288 26. Vanoni, V.A., 1975. *Sedimentation Engineering: American Society of Civil Engineers, Manuals*
289 *and Reports on Engineering Practice*.
- 290 27. Verstraeten, G., Poesen, J., Gillijns, K., Govers, G., 2006. The use of riparian vegetated filter
291 strips to reduce river sediment loads: an overestimated control measure? *Hydrological Processes*.
292 20, 4259-4267.
- 293 28. Villela, S.M., Mattos, A., 1975. *Hidrologia aplicada*, ed. McGraw-Hill do Brasil, São Paulo.
- 294 29. Zakia, M.J.B., 1988. Identificação e caracterização da zona ripária em uma micro bacia
295 experimental: implicações no manejo de bacias hidrográficas e na recomposição de florestas, ed.
296 Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo.

