



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41377
Title of the Manuscript:	Nursery water supply and biomass accumulation relationships in super sweet maize (sh2)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Correct the spelling mistake “relationsip” in the title Make the hypothesis statement more clear (abstract and introduction sections)	
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: - Line 4: change the word “routine” to “regime” - Line 6: the hypothesis is not clear Introduction: - Line 69: Change the word “routine” to “regime” - Line 70 to 71: the hypothesis is not clear Materials and methods - Line 82: Did you actually mean “ranging” instead of “ranged”? Results - Line 172-173: However, ‘3475’ maize hybrid showed no 173 SER differences for the two higher water supply (Figure 2). Actually, Figure 2 shows letters a b b, suggesting that the SER at 100% is higher than those at 75 and 50%!! - Line 205 to 207: The sentence is not clear. - Line 241: Change the word “significantly” to “significant” - Table 2, variety 3475, variable LAR, the mean comparisons are given as: 107.33a 115.29b 115.22a Superscript ‘a’ suggests that means 107.33 and 115.22 are equal, and are significantly different from 115.29, which is not correct. Please revise. - Lines 263 & 264: give the P value to indicate whether the r^2 values were significant or not - In all the Tables, please indicate the LSD values so to facilitate interpretation of the data - Figure 8. Need to set the y-axis minimum as may be 6, and NOT zero. Discussion - Lines 310-313: “Results from Cardoso et al. [32] who showed that there is genetic variability in traits such as pericarp thickness are in agreement with Figure 1, which showed significant solute leakage differences between the three sweet maize hybrids tested, however ... Better to rephrase this as “Results in Figure 1 which showed significant solute leakage differences between the three sweet maize hybrids tested, are in agreement with results from Cardoso et al. [32] who showed that there is genetic variability in traits such as pericarp thickness...” - Lines 316 to 317: Although a major goal of <i>sh2</i> maize-breeding programs is an increase in field emergence [33], transplant routine has showed to let reach this objective [34] – not clear what you mean!! - Line 332: Did you actually mean tray instead of try? - Line 388: Change “altering” to “alters” - Line 392: Change “fully” to “full”	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	- Author is advised to do a spell check to reduce on spelling and grammatical errors - Does this particular Journal have a page limit? Sixteen pages for one paper is really high! - Considering the two many references (54 total) used in the manuscript, the author should consider dropping some of them out, especially where there are two or three citations listed in the same spot, e.g. 1,2,3 (line 19); 41,42,43 (line 377), or where the same reference is cited in different multiple sections.	
----------------------------------	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Onesmus Semalulu
Department, University & Country	Uganda