



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41305
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrient / Nitrogen Use Efficiency and maize productivity in the Guinea Savanna Agro-ecological Zone of Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Some editorial comments were given in the manuscript. Overall, the research is straight forward, well designed and executed. The manuscript was written well. Two additional suggestions 1) The discussion part is repetitive and long, especially for subtopic yield. Consider revision for that. 2) It is important to make it clearer to readers that NUE was lower for inorganic + organic treatments, just because the total N was much higher for these treatments. Otherwise, it may be interpreted as application of poultry manure reduces NUE.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yadeta Kabeta
Department, University & Country	Canada