SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JEAI_41143**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Nutritional status of Isabel and Niagara Rosada vines with integrated diagnosis and recommendation system (DRIS) and ranges of sufficiency**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Line 200="The" should start with upper case. Line 212=Delete "." after "environment".	
Optional/General comments	I believe that this manuscript is worth reporting. Scientific value of this manuscript is sufficient.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Müzeyyen Berkel Kaşikci***
Department, University & Country	***Department of Food Engineering, Manisa Celal Bayar University, Turkey***