



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_41008
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of pesticides application on epidaphic invertebrate community in tomato cultivation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	- Lines 22 to 24 – Delete the first sentence; it does not make any sense. - Lines 38 and 40 – 'epigeic', 'epigephic', which one is correct? While on the other hand you have 'epiedaphic' and 'epidaphic'. You should be consistence and sure of what you write. - Line 64 – Insert 'of the' between 'end' and 'tomato'. - Table 1 – Check the column under 'Use' and correct all the 'inseticide' to 'insecticide'. - Line 93 – Delete 'Previously a' - Yu have not been consistent to the terms: epiedaphoic, epidaphic, epiphytic, epigraphic.....why are you not consistent? - Line 103 – Don't begin a sentence with figures. - Line 266 – Why did you have the authors' names in upper case throughout? - Lines 334 to 335 – Un-bold journal name.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Suleiman
Department, University & Country	Department of Biology, Umaru Musa Yar'adua University, Nigeria