



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_39851
Title of the Manuscript:	Substrate stabilization using humus with tannery sludge in conilon coffee seedlings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Abstract needs to be rewritten. It lacks of the main point of the review. It talks only general. Please start "The objective of this study..." and move the "Tannery sludge is a leather ...in the introduction. - The content inside is interesting and well written however, the discussion in the context should be improved. Not just review the fact	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Supreena Srisaikham
Department, University & Country	Faculty of Agricultural Technology, Burapha University, Thailand